### The EMEP4UK model: examples of some current applications & developments

### Mathew Heal (UoE) & Massimo Vieno (CEH)

with acknowledgements to many other collaborators and PhD students at .... UoE Schools of Chemistry & GeoSciences, CEH, EMEP MSC-W, CERC, IMK-IFU Karlsruhe, .....

and to funders ..... NERC, CEH, Defra, EMEP, EU-ECLAIRE .....







### Outline

- Brief description of the EMEP4UK regional atmospheric chemistry transport model (ACTM)
- Example applications:
  - The recent UK PM episode
  - Mitigation of UK PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- Example ongoing developments:
  - Increasing spatial resolution ( $\rightarrow$  for health exposure)
  - Coupling to dynamic emissions

### The EMEP4UK ACTM

3D Eulerian framework (Vieno et al., 2010) derived from EMEP MSC-W model (Simpson et al., 2012)

5 km  $\times$  5 km British Isles grid nested within EMEP 50 km  $\times$  50 km domain

20 vertical layers to ~16 km, surface layer 90 m

Meteorology driver is WRF 3.5 (www.wrf-model.org)

CRIv2 R5 chemical solver (195 species, 569 reactions; Watson et al. 2008)

PM includes SIA, SOA, sea-salt, dust, forest fire...

Dry and wet deposition as for EMEP main model



 $\Rightarrow$  Hourly output of concentrations, deposition & met variables

### Outline

- Brief description of the EMEP4UK regional atmospheric chemistry transport model (ACTM)
- Example applications:
  - The recent UK PM episode
  - Mitigation of UK PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- Example ongoing developments:
  - Increasing spatial resolution ( $\rightarrow$  for health exposure)
  - Coupling to dynamic emissions

# Rapid simulations of the spring 2014 UK particulate matter air pollution event





#### Used 2010 emissions

Contributions from UK/non-UK emissions investigated by simple sensitivity expt. with zero anthropogenic UK emissions

### EMEP4UK vs. observations – hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub>



### EMEP4UK vs. observations – hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub>



Auchencorth PM<sub>2.5</sub>

### Hourly means, 26<sup>th</sup> Mar – 3<sup>rd</sup> Apr

March - April 2014 high PM episode over the UK - EMEP4UK rv.4.3



### PM<sub>2.5</sub> London Bloomsbury





PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plymouth Centre

PM<sub>2.5</sub> Harwell



- The EMEP4UK model does a reasonable job of simulating the magnitude and timing of the development of the episode for PM<sub>2.5</sub> (& PM<sub>10</sub>) across the UK
- Substantial PM<sub>2.5</sub> from non-UK emissions during the episode
- Nitrate is a major component of PM<sub>2.5</sub> throughout this period;
  Saharan dust arrives later

### Modelling support for Air Quality Expert Group report "Mitigation of PM<sub>2.5</sub>"



(Follow-up to AQEG's PM<sub>2.5</sub> report)



- To quantify how much of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the UK is controllable, at least in principle, by the UK
- Identify what component emissions reductions are most effective in reducing total PM<sub>2.5</sub>

 $\Rightarrow$  EMEP4UK sensitivity experiments where UK anthropogenic emissions of NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> each reduced by 30%

# Reductions in $PM_{2.5}$ (µg m<sup>-3</sup>) for 30% reductions in UK $SO_x$ , $NO_x$ or $NH_3$ emissions



Only small reductions in UK PM<sub>2.5</sub> from 30% reductions in UK emissions

### ...and for 30% reductions in UK primary PM<sub>2.5</sub>





Different spatial patterns from reductions in UK emissions of primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>

The difference in effect on PM<sub>2.5</sub> of 30% reductions in UK NH<sub>3</sub> or primary PM<sub>2.5</sub>

**Blue** = 30% NH<sub>3</sub> reductions more effective at mitigating PM<sub>2.5</sub> than 30% primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> reductions

**Orange** = 30% primary  $PM_{2.5}$  reductions more effective at mitigating  $PM_{2.5}$  than 30%  $NH_3$  reductions



70% NH<sub>3</sub> emis - 70% PPM<sub>25</sub> emis

-0.24 -0.12 0 0.12 0.24

Estimates of impact of 30% UK emissions reductions on the UK  $PM_{2.5}$  Average Exposure Indicator of ~13  $\mu g$  m  $^{-3}$ 

Component	EMEP4UK data	From other data
Primary PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.4	up to 0.8 if 1:1 proportionality
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.34	0.16-0.22 Nemitz et al.
		0.1-0.34 EMEP S-R
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.28	0.14-0.20 Nemitz et al.
		0.12-0.35 EMEP S-R
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.10	0.10-0.15 Nemitz et al.
		0.03-0.07 EMEP S-R
VOC	0.08	-
Total	~1.2 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	~ 1 – 1.7 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
	Only ~10% re	duction in AEI

Targeting primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> is most effective (but NH<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> also important)

### Outline

- Brief description of the EMEP4UK regional atmospheric chemistry transport model (ACTM)
- Example applications:
  - The recent UK PM episode
  - Mitigation of UK PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- Example ongoing developments:
  - Increasing spatial resolution ( $\rightarrow$  for health exposure)
  - Coupling to dynamic emissions

### Increasing EMEP4UK rv4.3 horizontal resolution



(Altitude / m)

### Visualisation of emissions at different scales

NO<sub>x</sub> annual emissions

#### $2008 \text{ NO}_{x} \text{ mg m}^{2}$





#### $2008 \text{ NH}_3 \text{ mg m}^2$



2008 O<sub>3</sub> (ppb)

Effect of model resolution on simulated exposure

Area around Aberdeen as an example



### Effect of model resolution on mod-obs comparison



### Notable spatial range in urban $O_3$ (± 1 km grid cell)



### The need for yet higher spatial resolution

2010 annual average surface concentration of NO<sub>2</sub>







### Nesting ADMS-Urban within EMEP4UK – proof of concept in central London





**PM**<sub>2.5</sub>

(Corrected for doublecounting of emissions)





Annual average maps are for illustration only

7.0 - 8.0 8.0 - 9.5

9.5 - 10.0 10.0 - 10.5

10.5 - 11.0

11.0 - 12.0 12.0 - 14.0

14.0 - 16.0 16.0 - 20.0 - the motivation is in being able to derive time series of highly-spatially-resolved pollutant fields without constraint of measurements for BCs

### Coupling to dynamic emissions models

#### Vegetation & litter decay as an example





Hours- days Days – weeks – months – years



## THANK YOU

... and a reiteration of acknowledgements to many colleagues and funders