London Borough of Ealing Air Quality Annual Status Report for 2022 (V.4)

Date of publication: May 2023



This report provides a detailed overview of air quality in London Borough of Ealing during 2022. It has been produced to meet the requirements of the London Local Air Quality Management (LLAQM) statutory process¹.

Contact details:

Air Quality Officer. Sneha Patil

Department: Regulatory Services

Address: London Borough of Ealing

Perceval House

14-16 Uxbridge Road

London W5 2HL

E-mail: pollution-technical@ealing.gov.uk



¹ LLAQM Policy and Technical Guidance 2019 (LLAQM.TG(19))

Contents

Abbrevi	iations	5
1.	Air Quality Monitoring	8
1.1	Locations	8
1.2	Comparison of Monitoring Results with AQOs	20
2.	Action to Improve Air Quality	40
2.1	Air Quality Action Plan Progress	40
3.	Planning Update and Other New Sources of Emissions	49
3.1	New or significantly changed industrial or other sources	50
4.	Additional Activities to Improve Air Quality	51
4.1	London Borough of Ealing Fleet	51
4.2	NRMM Enforcement Project	51
4.2	Air Quality Alerts	51
Append	dix A Details of Monitoring Site Quality QA/QC	52
A.1	Automatic Monitoring Sites	52
A.2	Diffusion Tubes	52
A.3	Adjustments to the Ratified Monitoring Data	55
Append	dix B Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2022	57

Tables

Table A.	Summary of National Air Quality Standards and Objectives	.7
Table B.	Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2022	10
Table C.	Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2022	13
Table D.	Annual Mean NO2 Ratified and Bias-adjusted Monitoring Results	21
Table E. Objective, N	NO ₂ Automatic Monitoring Results: Comparison with 1-hour Mean lumber of 1-Hour Means > 200 μg m ⁻³	35
Table F.	Annual Mean PM ₁₀ Automatic Monitoring Results (µg m ⁻³)	37
Table G. Objective, N	PM ₁₀ Automatic Monitoring Results: Comparison with 24-Hour Mean lumber of PM ₁₀ 24-Hour Means > 50 μg m ⁻³	38
Table H.	Delivery of Air Quality Action Plan Measures	40
Table I. Plar Ealing in 202	nning requirements met by planning applications in London Borough of	49
Table J. Bias	s Adjustment Factor	54
Table K. Data 56	Short-Term to Long-Term Monitoring Data Adjustment – Diffusion Tube	е
Table L.Sho Monitoring E	ort-Term to Long-Term Monitoring Data Adjustment – Continuous	56
Table M.	NO2 Diffusion Tube Results	57

Figures

Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites	9
Map of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 1	2
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Automatic Monitoring Sites2	27
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Urban Background Diffusion Tube	; 28
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (1)	<u>29</u>
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (2)	30
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (3)	31
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (4)	32
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (5)	33
Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (6) 34
nnual Mean PM ₁₀ Concentrations at Automatic Monitoring Sites	39
Local Bias Adjustment Factor Calculation5	53
National Bias Adjustment Factor Calculation5	54
	Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AQO	Air Quality Objective
AQS	Air Quality Strategy
AURN	Automatic Urban and Rural Network
CHP	Combined Heat and Power
COP26	Conference of the Parties (2021 United Nations Climate Change Conference)
CYP	Children and Young People
DPD	Development Plan Document
DPH	Department of Public Health
EV	Electric Vehicle
EVCP	Electric Vehicle Charge Point
FDMS	Filter Dynamics Measurement System
GLA	Greater London Authority
HPF	Health Protection Forum
JSNA	Joint Strategic Needs Assessment
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
LAQN	London Air Quality Network
LES	Low Emission Strategy
LLAQM	London Local Air Quality Management
MAQF	Mayor's Air Quality Fund
NHS	National Health Service
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
NOx	Nitrogen oxides
NRMM	Non-Road Mobile Machinery
PM10	Particulate matter less than 10 micron in diameter
PM _{2.5}	Particulate matter less than 2.5 micron in diameter

Abbreviation	Description
QA/QC	Quality assurance and quality control.
STARS	Sustainable Travel: Active, Responsible, Safe
TEOM	Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance
TfL	Transport for London
VCM	Volatile Correction Model

Pollutant	Standard / Objective (UK)	Averaging Period	Date ⁽¹⁾
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	200 µg m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31 Dec 2005
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	40 μg m ⁻³	Annual mean	31 Dec 2005
Particles (PM10)	50 μg m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	31 Dec 2004
Particles (PM ₁₀)	40 μg m ⁻³	Annual mean	31 Dec 2004
Particles (PM _{2.5})	20 µg m ⁻³	Annual mean	2020
Particles (PM _{2.5})	Target of 15% reduction in concentration at urban background locations	3-year mean	Between 2010 and 2021
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂)	266 µg m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31 Dec 2005
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂)	350 μg m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	31 Dec 2004
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂)	125 μg m ⁻³ mot to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	31 Dec 2004

 Table A.
 Summary of National Air Quality Standards and Objectives

Notes:

(1) Date by which to be achieved by and maintained thereafter

1. Air Quality Monitoring

1.1 Locations

In 2022, four automatic monitoring stations were operational in the London Borough of Ealing. These include Ealing Acton Vale (owned/operated by Tideway Tunnel Project), an urban background site, two roadside sites (Ealing Hanger Lane Gyratory and Ealing Western Avenue) and one industrial site (Ealing Horn Lane). All sites are operated as part of the London Air Quality Network (LAQN). Two different PM₁₀ analysers are active at the Horn Lane monitoring station, a TEOM and a TEOM-FDMS (AURN). Consistent with the LAQN classification, data from the two instruments are reported as two separate stations (EA8 Horn Lane and EI8 Horn Lane TEOM).

Details of the relevant Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures that were followed during the monitoring are provided in Appendix A. Figure 1 and Table B provide details of the automatic monitoring sites located in the Borough. All the currently operational automatic monitoring sites measure nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and Particulate Matter (PM₁₀).



Figure 1. Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Monitoring technique
EA6 Hanger Lane Gyratory	Hanger Lane Gyratory	518537	182708	Roadside	Y-Ealing	4	3	2.0	NO2, PM10	Chemiluminesce nce, TEOM
EA8 Horn Lane	Horn Lane	520432	181428	Industrial	Y-Ealing	8	2.5	1.8	NO2, PM10	Chemiluminesce nce, PM ₁₀ by FDMS
El8 Horn Lane	Horn Lane	520432	181428	Industrial	Y-Ealing	8	2.5	1.8	PM 10	PM ₁₀ by TEOM
El1 Western Avenue	Western Avenue	520430	181950	Roadside	Y-Ealing	4	4	2.0	NO ₂ , PM ₁₀	Chemiluminesce nce, TEOM
EI3 Acton Vale	Acton Vale	521134	179771	Urban Background	Y-Ealing	N/A	N/a	2.55	NO ₂ , PM ₁₀	Chemiluminesce nce, TEOM

Table B. Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2022

During 2022, the London Borough of Ealing also monitored annual mean NO₂ concentrations using a network of 66 passive diffusion tubes across 60 locations. There are three triplicate diffusion tube sites, co-located with three automatic air quality monitoring stations. Figure 2 and Table C provide details of the diffusion tube sites operated within the borough during 2022. In recent years, the Council has decommissioned 23 sites to focus on locations of most relevant exposure, by removing sites that had been compliant with the annual mean objective for several years.

Previously, London Borough of Ealing had two temporary diffusion tube sites located at St Mark's Primary School to basically investigate and gather evidence to address concerns expressed by some parents about potential exposure of children to pollution from traffic on Lower Boston Road. These sites were decommissioned at the end of 2021 as NO₂ monitoring data showed that the NO₂ annual mean concentration level was within the relevant annual mean objective, their results can be found in the 2021 ASR.

In 2022, 5 additional diffusion tube sites were installed at schools in the London Borough of Ealing. There were 6 diffusion tube locations which were relocated during 2022: EA3, EA5, EA10, EA34, EA43 and EA61.



Figure 2. Map of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor. (Y/N)
EA1	2 Horsenden Lane South, Greenford, UB6 8AB	516368	182978	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA2	1 Kirn Road, West Ealing, W13 0UB	516699	180509	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	2.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA3	Brent Lodge Park, Church Road, Hanwell, W7 3BP	514756	180653	Background	Y- Ealing	0.0	30.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA4	74a Greenford Avenue, Hanwell, W7 3QS	515451	180894	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA5	6 Boston Gardens, Boston Road, Hanwell, W7 2AN	516299	178892	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	10.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA6	200 Uxbridge Road, Hanwell, W7 3TB	515180	180111	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	3.3	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA7	2 St Marys Avenue South, Southall, UB2 4LS	513476	178561	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	12.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA8	55 King Street, Southall, UB2 4DQ	512341	179186	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	3.3	2-2.5	NO ₂	No

Table C. Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2022

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor. (Y/N)
EA9	18 Western Road, Southall, UB2 5DU	512181	179219	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	7.5	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA10	148 Brent Road, Southall, UB2 5LD	511175	179265	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	7.7	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA11	2 Merrick Road, Southall, UB2 4AU	512657	179712	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	12.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA12	Hambrough Primary School	512673	180069	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	10.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA13	11 The Broadway, Southall, UB1 3PX	512768	180400	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	4.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA14	25 Lady Margaret Road, Southall, UB1 2RA	512812	180516	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	6.3	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA15	213 Church Road, Northolt, UB5 5BE	512442	183769	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	12.4	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA16	31 Mandeville Road, Northolt, UB5 5HF	513056	184241	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	9.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA17	126 Petts Hill, Northolt, UB5 4NW	513794	185348	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	9.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA18	1504 Greenford Road, Greenford, UB6 0HR	515402	185313	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.3	2-2.5	NO ₂	No

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor. (Y/N)
EA19	914 Greenford Road, Greenford, UB6 8QN	514985	183770	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	3.3	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA20	6 Karoline Gardens, Greenford, UB6 9JP	514691	183269	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	9.1	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA21	12 Blenheim Close, Greenford, UB6 8ET	514863	183122	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	9.5	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA22	19 Runnymede Gardens, Greenford, UB6 8SX	515240	183102	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	1.2	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA23	158 South Ealing Road, Ealing, W5 4QL	517694	179045	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	3.5	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA24	213 Northfields Ave, West Ealing, W13 9QU	517045	179292	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.2	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA25	12 Bond Street, Ealing, W5 5AP	517644	180613	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	2.7	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA26	8 Spring Bridge Road, Ealing, W5 2AA	517745	180826	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	3.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA27	21 Haven Lane, Ealing, W5 2HZ	518022	181114	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	2.4	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA28	41-42 Haven Green, Ealing, W5 2NX	517909	180971	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	3.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor. (Y/N)
EA29	64 Hanger Lane, Ealing, W5 2JH	518635	181288	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	0.7	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA30, EA31, EA32	Fernlea House	518541	182707	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	4.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	Yes
EA33	25 Waverley Gardens, Park Royal, NW10 7EX	518673	182982	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	1.8	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA34	3 Iveagh Terrace, Park Royal, NW10 7SY	519126	183383	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	31.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA35	5 Wendover Court, Western Avenue, Acton, W3 0TG	520020	182180	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	11.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA36, EA37, EA38	322 & 324 Western Avenue	520430	181950	Roadside	Y- Ealing	3.5	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	Yes
EA39	326 Western Avenue, Acton, W3 0PL	520426	181958	Roadside	Y- Ealing	3.5	11.4	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA40	94 North Acton Road, Park Royal, NW10 7AY	520780	182775	Roadside	Y- Ealing	3.5	6.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA41	1 Shaftesbury Gardens, Park Royal, NW10 6LJ	521312	182366	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor. (Y/N)
EA42	39 Old Oak Lane, Park Royal, NW10 6EJ	521587	182685	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA43	165 Wells House Road, Park Royal, NW10 6EA	521303	182056	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA44	4 St Andrews Road, Acton, W3 7NE	521389	180953	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	8.6	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA45	98 Western Avenue, Acton, W3 7TZ	521173	180981	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	10.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA46	6 Western Avenue, Acton, W3 7UD	521549	180923	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	4.6	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA47	71 Old Oak Common Lane, Acton, W3 7DD	521557	180996	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	11.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA48	205 Old Oak Road, Acton, W3 7HH	521614	180852	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	4.7	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA49	17 The Vale, Acton, W3 7SH	521720	180084	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	19.4	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA50	3 Warple Way, Acton, W3 0RH	521088	180046	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	2.2	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA51	88 High Street, Acton, W3 6QX	520285	180075	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor. (Y/N)
EA52	15a Church Road, Acton, W3 8QE	520092	180063	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	10.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA53	182 High Street, Acton, W3 9NN	520026	180141	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	4.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA54	44 Acton Lane, Chiswick, W4 5ED	520484	178847	Roadside	Y- Ealing	10.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA55	156 Horn Lane, Acton, W3 6PH	520180	180896	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	6.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA56, EA57, EA58	317 Horn Lane, Acton, W3 0BU (AQMS) (Tri)	520432	181428	Roadside	Y- Ealing	10.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	Yes
EA59	5 Leamington Park, Acton, W3 6TJ	520532	181517	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	3.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA60	Lyra Court, Portal Way, Acton, W3 6DB	520739	181824	Roadside	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA61	36 Wales Farm Road, Acton, W3 6UE	520713	181592	Background	Y- Ealing	0.0	5.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA62	Alec Read and John Chilton School (30 Bengarth Road, Northolt, UB5 5LH)	512026	183762	Roadside	Y- Ealing	10.0	4.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA63	Ravenor Primary School	513483	182686	Roadside	Y- Ealing	16.0	0.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA? If so, which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor. (Y/N)
	(Greenway Gardens, Greenford, UB6 9TT)									
EA64	Our Lady of the Visitation Roman Catholic Primary School (Greenford Business Centre, Greenford, UB6 9AP)	514378	182112	Roadside	Y- Ealing	12	0.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA65	Brentside Primary School (31 Kennedy Road, Hanwell, W7 1JL)	515276	181990	Roadside	Y- Ealing	8	0.0	2-2.5	NO ₂	No
EA66	Coston Primary School (69 Oldfield Lane South, Greenford, UB6 9JT)	514521	182949	Roadside	Y- Ealing	7.0	0.0	2-2.5	NO2	No

1.2 Comparison of Monitoring Results with AQOs

The annual mean NO₂ concentration results from automatic monitoring stations and diffusion tube monitoring locations since 2016 are presented in Table D. The results presented are after adjustments for "annualisation" and for distance to a location of relevant public exposure (if required), the details of which are described in Appendix A.

Data capture was good at Hanger Lane Gyratory, Horn Lane and Western Avenue (EA6, EA8, EI1) automatic monitors in 2022, with these three stations achieving data capture rates above 95% for NO₂. Data capture for NO₂ at Acton Vale (EI3) was 45% and thus the results required annualisation. Data capture at most NO₂ diffusion tubes sites was good in 2022, with at least 9 months of valid data (i.e., 75% data capture or greater). EA53 was the only diffusion tube location with poor data capture, with a data capture of 24.8%.²

There have been exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective of 40 μ g m⁻³ observed at automatic Hanger Lane Gyratory monitoring station since 2014, a trend which continued into 2022 with an annual mean concentration of 51.5 μ g m⁻³. Both Horn Lane and Western Avenue recorded NO₂ concentrations below the objective for the third year in a row. The annual mean NO₂ concentration was 20.6 μ g m⁻³ at Acton Vale monitoring station. None of the automatic sites exceeded the 1 hour mean NO₂ objective (200 μ g m⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year) in 2022.

Amongst the diffusion tubes, there were 3 exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective in 2022, at sites EA26, EA30-32 and EA46. This is a reduction on 2021 where there were 4 exceedances. EA26 is located on Spring Bridge Road in central Ealing. EA30-32 is co-located with the automatic monitor on the Hanger Lane Gyratory, which also saw an exceedance of the annual mean NO₂ objective in 2022. EA46 is located on the A40 Western Avenue, a major A road through Ealing. As there were no diffusion tube

² This site has 3 months of data and has been annualised with results reported as directed by the Data Processing Tool v3.0. Data capture reported is calculated on number of days exposed as produced by the Data Processing Tool v3.0.

locations which saw annual mean concentrations above $60 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ in 2022, it is unlikely that the 1 hour mean NO₂ objective was exceeded at any monitoring location. The maximum NO₂ concentration recorded at diffusion tube sites in 2022 was 52 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$ at triplicate site EA30-32 at Fernlea House, Hanger Lane. This location has historically seen the highest concentrations, previously in excess of 70 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$, but has shown a decreasing trend since 2016.

Site ID	Site type	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA6 Hanger Lane Gyratory	Automatic	99.4	99.4	<u>76.0</u>	<u>72.3</u>	<u>67.9</u>	<u>64.5</u>	51.0	49.4	51.5
EA8 Horn Lane	Automatic	99.5	99.5	48.0	44.2	43.9	41.8	33.2	32.0	29.3
El1 Western Avenue	Automatic	99.2	99.2	<u>60.1</u>	51.2	47.7	48.6	35.2	35.9	35.2
EI3 Acton Vale	Automatic	45.1	45.1	-	-	29.0	26.5	19.7	21.0	20.6
EA1	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	<u>61.0</u>	54.0	49.4	50.3	36.1	34.6	33.5
EA2	Diffusion Tube	92.5	92.5	47.9	40.1	42.0	38.7	27.7	29.8	28.4
EA3	Diffusion Tube	92.6	92.6	23.8	20.2	21.0	20.5	15.2	14.9	15.9
EA4	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	36.2	32.4	30.1	34.4	24.4	25.9	25.3
EA5	Diffusion Tube	73.0	73.0	34.2	29.7	30.7	29.8	21.2	21.1	26.2
EA6	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	49.8	42.8	42.8	43.0	33.5	33.5	35.8

 Table D.
 Annual Mean NO2 Ratified and Bias-adjusted Monitoring Results

Site ID	Site type	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA7	Diffusion Tube	92.5	92.5	31.9	29.4	30.5	28.9	21.0	22.0	20.2
EA8	Diffusion Tube	92.3	92.3	48.9	50.6	41.1	40.5	27.0	29.4	32.3
EA9	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	36.6	31.9	30.9	31.5	22.4	22.9	23.4
EA10	Diffusion Tube	91.9	91.9	38.5	34.6	35.0	33.2	23.4	24.3	27.2
EA11	Diffusion Tube	92.3	92.3	33.4	28.6	28.6	27.5	17.6	20.8	19.3
EA12	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	39.3	31.4	34.4	32.5	24.0	22.6	23.0
EA13	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	52.7	45.1	46.0	44.3	35.2	32.9	36.2
EA14	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	48.0	44.1	40.2	41.2	29.6	31.6	32.5
EA15	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	42.5	36.2	37.2	35.2	24.3	27.3	25.2
EA16	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	40.0	37.1	33.9	34.6	28.3	25.9	26.7
EA17	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	37.3	33.4	33.4	32.8	24.8	23.6	23.1
EA18	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	33.9	31.5	31.8	31.7	24.1	25.3	24.2
EA19	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	39.3	34.7	35.0	34.3	24.4	26.7	25.2
EA20	Diffusion Tube	92.3	92.3	42.2	41.0	41.6	39.1	28.7	27.9	27.8
EA21	Diffusion Tube	92.3	92.3	39.0	34.2	34.4	30.0	20.2	22.6	20.7
EA22	Diffusion Tube	92.6	92.6	39.1	37.9	33.1	33.1	24.6	23.5	22.3

Site ID	Site type	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA23	Diffusion Tube	92.0	92.0	<u>62.1</u>	53.5	50.6	52.0	35.2	31.4	34.1
EA24	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	36.6	36.1	33.5	32.7	24.3	25.6	27.6
EA25	Diffusion Tube	92.3	92.3	48.6	44.3	52.5	42.2	30.9	30.7	31.8
EA26	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	<u>61.9</u>	54.4	<u>60.4</u>	56.2	42.5	44.3	43.6
EA27	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	35.4	31.2	31.2	30.2	22.6	22.5	21.5
EA28	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	48.0	39.8	42.3	42.1	33.3	36.8	37.4
EA29	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	39.5	35.6	36.4	35.1	27.1	25.6	25.4
EA30, EA31, EA32	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	<u>73.2</u>	<u>71.9</u>	<u>69.4</u>	<u>66.2</u>	50.2	50.3	52.0
EA33	Diffusion Tube	92.3	92.3	49.8	43.3	54.5	56.0	44.5	40.3	35.9
EA34	Diffusion Tube	83.9	83.9	39.6	34.6	35.2	33.9	28.1	25.1	29.5
EA35	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	55.7	47.3	49.7	46.6	35.7	33.7	35.0
EA36, EA37, EA38	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	<u>60.2</u>	56.0	54.4	49.4	36.5	35.8	35.3
EA39	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	52.1	45.0	48.3	41.4	31.2	28.4	27.1
EA40	Diffusion Tube	82.4	82.4	38.1	33.4	33.1	30.6	22.0	25.9	25.1
EA41	Diffusion Tube	92.0	92.0	37.7	32.6	32.6	30.0	25.2	24.2	23.2

Site ID	Site type	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA42	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	49.6	45.3	44.4	45.9	32.0	35.4	32.6
EA43	Diffusion Tube	91.9	91.9	40.5	36.9	36.6	33.2	24.9	24.4	25.4
EA44	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	38.1	34.7	32.0	31.4	22.6	21.0	21.9
EA45	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	49.9	43.9	46.7	39.6	29.3	29.4	29.7
EA46	Diffusion Tube	83.0	83.0	<u>75.3</u>	<u>67.9</u>	<u>67.6</u>	59.6	46.1	45.3	46.0
EA47	Diffusion Tube	91.9	91.9	49.2	43.7	43.0	41.4	32.9	30.5	29.0
EA48	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	58.9	50.9	52.6	47.1	37.8	36.4	39.7
EA49	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	40.9	34.6	37.5	35.3	26.7	25.4	24.5
EA50	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	39.4	32.6	36.2	34.3	25.7	24.3	23.7
EA51	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	56.0	49.0	48.1	48.8	39.0	39.4	39.9
EA52	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	35.1	28.6	29.6	27.5	22.5	22.2	22.6
EA53	Diffusion Tube	24.8	24.8	54.7	44.4	47.7	47.5	36.2	34.5	37.2
EA54	Diffusion Tube	92.3	92.3	37.8	37.6	44.3	39.3	28.2	28.9	28.5
EA55	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	43.1	36.5	40.5	34.9	27.6	28.2	26.8
EA56, EA57, EA58	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	50.8	44.1	44.3	41.2	31.3	31.1	30.2
EA59	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	43.7	36.4	38.4	34.1	26.6	26.0	26.1

Site ID	Site type	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA60	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	47.5	40.0	39.2	39.8	29.7	26.5	26.1
EA61	Diffusion Tube	84.2	84.2	43.9	38.9	37.6	37.1	28.6	26.3	26.9
EA62	Diffusion Tube	74.9	74.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.2
EA63	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.4
EA64	Diffusion Tube	84.8	84.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	37.8
EA65	Diffusion Tube	92.5	92.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.2
EA66	Diffusion Tube	76.7	76.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.0

Notes:

The annual mean concentrations are presented as $\mu g m^{-3}$.

Exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean AQO of 40 μ g m⁻³ are shown in **bold**.

NO₂ annual means in excess of 60 µg m⁻³, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO₂ hourly mean AQS objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

Means for diffusion tubes have been corrected for bias.

All means have been "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance if valid data capture for the calendar year is less than 75% and greater than 25%.

Results have been distance corrected where applicable.

(a) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(b) data capture for the full calendar year (e.g., if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%).

Figure 3 shows the trends in NO₂ concentrations at automatic monitoring sites in the borough for the period of 2016 – 2022, whilst Figure 4 to Figure 10 show the trends in NO₂ concentrations for the same period at diffusion tube monitoring sites grouped by monitoring site type: urban background and roadside sites. At automatic monitoring sites (Figure 3), a decreasing trend in NO₂ concentrations can be seen since 2015, albeit with some natural variations. Since 2020, the trend has generally stabilised, although there was a slight increase in NO₂ concentrations at EA6 Hanger Lane. At the urban background diffusion tube site Brent Lodge Park (EA3) (Figure 5), there is evidence of a decrease in NO₂ concentrations between 2015 and 2021. This trend appears to have plateaued since 2020.

The majority of near-road and roadside sites show an overall decreasing trend in annual mean NO₂ concentrations since 2016, with evidence of a stabilisation between 2017 and 2019 and a stabilisation or slight increase from 2020 to 2022. The decrease in 2020 is likely to be the impact of COVID-19 and resulting lockdowns leading to a decrease in road traffic emissions, with subsequent increases likely attributable to a return to business as usual.



Figure 3. Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations at Automatic Monitoring Sites



Figure 4. Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations at Urban Background Diffusion Tube Site



Figure 5. Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (1)







Figure 7. Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (3)



Figure 8. Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (4)



Figure 9. Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (5)



Figure 10. Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations at Roadside Diffusion Tube Sites (6)

Table E presents the 1-hour mean NO₂ monitoring results at automatic monitoring stations between 2016 to 2022. None of the automatic sites exceeded the 1 hour mean NO₂ objective (200 μ g m⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year) in 2022. Whilst there were some hourly mean concentrations over the 200 μ g m⁻³ threshold in 2019, all monitoring sites have been compliant with the objective since 2017.

Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period %(ª)	Valid data capture 2022 %(^b)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA6 Hanger Lane Gyratory	99.4	99.4	45	9	0	3	0	0	0
EA8 Horn Lane	99.5	99.5	1	2	0	2	0	0	0
El1 Western Avenue	99.2	99.2	22	0	0	0	0	0	0
E13 Acton Vale	45.1	45.1	-	-	0	0	0 (82)	0 (81)	0 (102)

Table E. NO₂ Automatic Monitoring Results: Comparison with 1-hour Mean Objective, Number of 1-Hour Means > 200 μ g m⁻³

Notes

Results are presented as the number of 1-hour periods where concentrations greater than 200 µg m⁻³ have been recorded.

Exceedance of the NO₂ short term AQO of 200 µg m⁻³ over the permitted 18 hours per year are shown in **bold**.

If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 99.8th percentile of 1-hour means is provided in brackets.

(a) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

(b) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g., if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%)

PM₁₀ concentrations are currently measured using TEOMs at all automatic monitoring locations in the London Borough of Ealing. The Horn Lane station is equipped with both TEOM and TEOM-FDMS analysers for PM₁₀ monitoring and results from these are presented separately. The annual mean PM₁₀ results are shown in Table F and the 24-hour mean PM₁₀ results are presented in Table G.

Data capture in 2022 was good (i.e. >85%) at only 1 location, EI8 Horn Lane TEOM. Data capture at the other 4 monitors had data capture rates ranging from 25.9% to 74.2%, and thus required annualisation. The annual mean PM₁₀ objective of 40 μ g m⁻³ was achieved at all sites during 2022, and it has been achieved at all automatic monitoring locations in the borough since 2016. The highest annual mean PM₁₀ concentration in 2022 was recorded at EA8 Horn Lane (27.3 μ g m⁻³). The number of exceedances of the 24-hour mean objective (50 μ g m⁻³) was within the permitted 35 days per year. The 90.4th percentile at EA8 Horn Lane (52 μ g m⁻³) suggests the 24-hour mean objective is likely to have been exceeded here; however, this result should be treated with caution given the low data capture. Furthermore, the data from the co-located TEOM instrument indicate that the number of daily exceedances was within the 35 permitted days.

Figure 11 shows the trends in PM₁₀ concentrations at automatic monitoring sites in the borough for the period of 2016 – 2022. The figure indicates a slight downward trend in annual values at Hanger Lane since 2015, whilst other monitoring locations do not show a strong discernible trend.

Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period %(ª)	Valid data capture 2022 %(ʰ)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA6 Hanger Lane Gyratory	74	74	24	26	28	25	23	20	18
EA8 Horn Lane	35	35	28	27	25	28	24	26	27
El8 Horn Lane TEOM	98	98	26	26	26	25	21	23	27
El1 Western Avenue	72	72	30	26	28	26	23	25	25
EI3 Acton Vale	26	26	-	-	19	18	16	16	17

Table F. Annual Mean PM₁₀ Automatic Monitoring Results (µg m⁻³)

Notes

The annual mean concentrations are presented as μ g m⁻³.

Exceedances of the PM₁₀ annual mean AQO of 40 μ g m⁻³ are shown in **bold**.

All means have been "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance, if valid data capture is less than 75% and more than 25%.

(a) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(b) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g., if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%).

Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
EA6 Hanger Lane Gyratory	74.2	74.2	12	10	12	13	7	4	3 (30)
EA8 Horn Lane	34.9	34.9	19	16	7	15	9	13	13 (52)
El8 Horn Lane TEOM	97.5	97.5	17	10	7	16	5	9	18
El1 Western Avenue	72.4	72.4	24	9	14	21	11	11	14 (41)
El3 Acton Vale	25.9	25.9	-	-	2	9	3 (30)	1	4 (36)

Table G. PM₁₀ Automatic Monitoring Results: Comparison with 24-Hour Mean Objective, Number of PM₁₀ 24-Hour Means > 50 μg m⁻³

Notes

Exceedances of the PM₁₀ 24-hour mean objective (50 µg m⁻³ over the permitted 35 days per year) are shown in **bold**.

Where the period of valid data is less than 85% of a full year, the 90.4th percentile is provided in brackets.

(a) data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

(b) data capture for the full calendar year (e.g., if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%).



Figure 11 Annual Mean PM₁₀ Concentrations at Automatic Monitoring Sites

2. Action to Improve Air Quality

2.1 Air Quality Action Plan Progress

Table H provides a brief summary of London Borough of Ealing progress against the Air Quality Action Plan³, showing progress made this year. New projects which commenced in 2022 are shown at the bottom of the table.

Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix Theme	Action	Progress Emissions/Concentration data Benefits Negative impacts / Complaints
1	Emissions from Development and Buildings	Further actions to mitigate PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} emissions from industrial sources and resuspension in Horn Lane, Acton	 ONGOING Indicative monitoring continued in Acton Goods Yard in 2020/21 and is ongoing. Data is online at <u>www.llecp.org.uk</u>
2	Localised Solutions	Ealing Broadway Station -Forecourt improvements at Ealing Broadway Station	 ONGOING Works to improve pedestrian and cycle access to Ealing Broadway Station have commenced using Council funding with the aim to recover cost from TfL. Work has restarted and should complete in 2023/24.
3	Cleaner Transport	Cycling	 PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING Work on Ealing - Greenford Quietway to restart in 2022/23. Feasibility on other routes including Southall to Heathrow (subject to available funding) to be undertaken in 2022/23 (subject to available funding). Implemented experimental closures of Chiswick and Church Road, Northolt to all traffic except buses and cyclists (and emergency vehicles). These schemes will be reviewed at the end

Table H. Delivery of Air Quality Action Plan Measures

³ London Borough of Ealing (2017). Air Quality Action Plan 2017-2022. Available at: <u>https://www.ealing.gov.uk/downloads/download/4240/air quality action plan aqap</u>

Measure LLAQM Action Matrix Theme Action • Emissions/Concentration data • Benefits Measure • Emissions/Concentration data • Benefits • Negative impacts / Complaints • Negative impacts / Complaints of the year prior to a decision on whether to make th permanent. The Fishers Lane closure to vehicles is permanent after the successful experimental closure http://www.westtrans.org/WLA/wt2.nsf/Files/WTA /\$file/Ealing+Cycling+Plan.pdf 4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING • A programme of events to promote cycling and activ NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Ho <u>http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf</u>	
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project West London Student Cycling PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING 4 PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING	
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING • A programme of events to promote cycling and active NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Ho <u>http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf</u>	
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING • A programme of events to promote cycling and activ NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Ho <u>http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf</u>	
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING • A programme of events to promote cycling and active NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Hout http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf 9 PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING • A programme of events to promote cycling and active NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Hout http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf	now
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING • A programme of events to promote cycling and active NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Ho <u>http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf</u>	;
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING • A programme of events to promote cycling and activ NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Ho <u>http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf</u> 9 PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING	<u>201</u>
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project • A programme of events to promote cycling and active NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Ho http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf • PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING	
4 Cleaner Transport West London Student Cycling Champion project NHS sites across West London Including Ealing Ho <u>http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf</u> PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING	e travel at
Image: Champion project http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING	spital.
PROJECT ON HOLD DUE TO LACK OF FUNDING	
5 Cleaner Transport Electric Bike Trial to encourage more • Two e-bikes remain on trial within the NHS, further	eview is being
sustainable journeys conducted on how to best deploy for 2022/23.	
COMPLETE	
All new Crossrail stations open and accessible.	
6 Cleaner Transport Improved access to public transport For details of access improvements at these station	s, see
http://www.crossrail.co.uk/route/western-sectio	<u>1/</u>
ONGOING	
During 2022, planning conditions were imposed to:	and
- Ensure that particulate emissions from demolition are minimised.	anu
 and Buildings developments and buildings Control emissions from NRMM. 	
Control emissions from CHP and biomass boilers and	nd to ensure
that smaller developments use ultra-low NOX gas b	oilers.
Creating adarted and state of the ONGOING	
Ensuring adequate, appropriate, and Ensuring adequate, appropriate, approprise, appropriate, appropriate, appropriate, appropr	e) Strategy
8 and Buildings infrastructure is included in new DPD includes a chapter "Protecting and Enhancing	Ealing's
developments Green and Open Spaces".	

Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix Theme	Action	Progress Emissions/Concentration data Benefits			
			Negative impacts / Complaints			
9	Emissions from Developments and Buildings	Investigate the potential for larger development areas to proactively assess air quality impacts cumulatively	 ONGOING A draft Low Emission Strategy (LES) for the Southall Waterside development has been prepared and is awaiting sign-off. Independent air quality monitoring station installed October 2021 and monitoring in progress. Monitoring of the air quality project is expected to continue till the conclusion of the project. London Borough of Ealing - Air Quality monitoring service (airqualityengland.co.uk) 			
10	Emissions from Developments and Buildings	Promoting and delivering energy efficiency retrofitting projects in workplaces and homes to replace old boilers/top-up loft insulation in combination with other energy conservation measures.	 COMPLETE Public Sector Decarbonisation Scheme, Phase 1 projects completed in July 2022. A £2.955m programme has retrofit 15 corporate buildings and two schools. Green Homes Grant: Local Authority Delivery Phase 1 and 2 completed in 2022, 95 Ealing homes retrofitted. ONGOING The council is nearing completion of 44 whole house retrofits on its own council houses, with the aim of making them carbon neutral. Public Sector Decarbonisation Scheme, Phase 3a projects are nearing completion, £7.6m has been invested in a further eight schools and four sheltered accommodation blocks to improve energy efficiency and decarbonise heating. Public Sector Decarbonisation Scheme, Phase 3b - £13m in funding has been awarded to retrofit seven schools and three leisure centres. 			
11	Public Health and Awareness Raising	Ensure that Directors of Public Health (DsPH) have been fully briefed on the scale of the problem in your local authority area; what is	 COMPLETE Public Health (led by the DPH) has led a Joint Strategic Needs Assessment in this area to inform local decision making 			

Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix	Action	Progress Emissions/Concentration data			
	include a second s		 Benefits Negative impacts / Complaints 			
		being done, and what is needed. A briefing should be provided.				
12	Public Health and Awareness Raising	Public Health through the health protection forum that there is engagement with wider stakeholders in this agenda).	 ONGOING The Council are working with Ealing's Clinical Commission Group, through the JSNA and its recommendations. Health Protection Forum (HPF) meetings resumed June 2022. Air quality has been added to HPF forward plan, as a future focus topic. 			
13	Public Health and Awareness Raising	Encourage schools to join the TfL STARS accredited travel planning programme by providing information on the benefits to schools and supporting the implementation of such a programme	ONGOING STARS Accreditation to August 2022 Update: • Gold: 20 schools • Silver: 10 schools • Engaged (registered on STARS only): 1 school We held STARS Surgeries and training workshop to support schools in progression towards accreditation. School Streets • 17 permanent schemes are in place. • 4 additional School Streets were being developed and delivered in Spring 2023. • The council has made a commitment to deliver School Streets to 50 schools by the end of March 2026. For information on the London-wide STARS scheme, see https://stars.tfl.gov.uk			

			Progress
Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix Theme	Action	 Emissions/Concentration data Benefits Negative impacts / Complaints
14	Public Health and Awareness Raising	Air quality at schools	COMPLETE
			 The School Travel Team promoted Go Green for Clean Air Day, to all schools in the Borough, on 16th June 2022. The team encouraged schools to raise awareness of COP27 from 6– 18 November 2022 by using the resources we had produced for COP26. 5 weeks of Air Quality workshops were delivered at the 4 School Street schools. Focus on minimising further exposure by siting new schools away from busy roads. See Ealing Council's Sustainable Modes of Travel to School Strategy <u>https://www.ealing.gov.uk/downloads/201182/transport_strategies_and_plans</u> See Climate change, COP26 and air quality page on Ealing Grid for Learning <u>https://www.egfl.org.uk/services-children/school-travel-plans-</u>
15	Emissions from Development and Buildings	Update Procurement policies to ensure sustainable logistical measures are implemented (and include requirements for preferentially scoring bidders based on their sustainability criteria)	 ONGOING The new Greener Ealing London waste service provider procured a new fleet that significantly improves performance related to impacts on local air quality. They have also made commitments in the climate strategy that all heavy vehicles will run on alternative fuels or electric by 2030 and all light vehicles to be electric by 2026. The parks team made similar commitments, with 29% of their current vehicle fleet electric/hybrid and a commitment to increase to 50% by 2026. Further they have committed that 100% of their

			Progress				
Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix	Action	Emissions/Concentration data				
	Theme		Benefits				
			Negative impacts / Complaints				
			 maintenance equipment will have zero carbon output by 2025, current baseline is 60%. The contract for waste handling includes sustainable logistics. A new West London Low Carbon Procurement toolkit has now been shared with colleagues. The following teams have received electric vehicles: ICT and PS: 14 electric vans and 1 electric car, with 7 electric vans to be delivered in May 2023 Hostels: 1 electric van Pollution control: 1 electric car Parks team are still in the process of procuring their fleet. 				
			emissions) <u>http://www.westtrans.org/wla/wt2.nsf/pages/WT-211</u>				
			 COMPLETE Ealing Council Facilities acquired 6 electric vans to replace diesel vans in their fleet in 2021. ONGOING 				
16	Delivery Servicing and Freight	Re-organisation of freight to support consolidation (or micro-consolidation) of deliveries, by setting up or participating in new logistics facilities, and/or requiring that council suppliers participate in these	 Ealing Broadway Business Improvement District Air Quality Exemplar project undertaken with MAQF funding project has continued and is now fully funded by Ealing BID. This project has saved around 9,000 diesel vehicle trips each year. Greener Ealing LATCo established (in 2019) will provide a borough-based waste collection service and this should reduce numbers of vehicle trips and contractors used for waste collection services. Investigations currently underway into possible use of EVs. See <u>https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/</u> <u>files/mayors_air_quality_fund_report_2016.pdf</u> 				
17	Emissions from Development and Buildings	Green Infrastructure	 ONGOING Planning policies encourage green roofs, green walls, Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems etc. 				

Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix Theme	Action	Progress Emissions/Concentration data Benefits				
			Negative impacts / Complaints				
			 West Ealing Liveable Neighbourhood initial prototype phase implemented (includes parklets, decorative pedestrian crossing points and street art) to promote walking and cycling journeys. 				
18	Public Health and Awareness Raising	Discouraging unnecessary idling by taxis, coaches and other vehicles (e.g., through anti-idling campaigns or enforcement activity)	 COMPLETE 3 anti-idling workshops and events were held at 3 primary schools as a participating council of the anti-idling Mayor's Air Quality Fund. ONGOING Ongoing community engagement with parents and residents re anti-idling measures 				
			 Delivering activities and events as a participating council of the anti-idling Mayor's Air Quality Fund. In 2022, 3 anti-idling workshops and events were held at 3 primary schools. 				
19	Cleaner Transport	Increasing the proportion of electric, hydrogen and ultra-low emission vehicles in Car Clubs	 ONGOING The Council and partners Source London and Siemens/Ubitricity have installed around 600 on-street and car park EVCPs since 2019 including around 100 additional EVCPs on private land open to the public see: Map of charging points for electric car drivers in UK: <u>Zap-Map (zap-map.com)</u> 				
			<u>https://www.ealing.gov.uk/info/201173/</u> transport_and_parking/1316/electric_vehicles_ and_charging_points/1				
20	Cleaner Transport	Very Important Pedestrian Days (e.g., no vehicles on certain roads on a Sunday) and similar initiatives	 COMPLETE 2 permanent LTNs now in place. ONGOING Playstreets initiative: there are 54 registered, resident-led Playstreets currently. Consultations on additional locations currently being undertaken. 21 school streets implemented. Intention to reach 50 by 2026 				

			Progress
Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix Theme	Action	Emissions/Concentration data
			Benefits Negative impacts / Complaints
21	Cleaner Transport	Railway emissions	
			• Successfully secured the trial replacement of the diesel train operating on the Greenford Line by a battery electric train scheduled to enter service in winter 2022. However, this was delayed after the train company had financial difficulties. New start date TBC.
			GWR fast-charging trial brings regular battery-only rail services a step closer
			Ealing's trains leading the charge towards net zero Ealing Council
22	Public Health and Awareness Raising	Ensure that the Head of Transport has been fully briefed on the Public Health duties and the fact that all directors (not just Director of Public Health) are responsible for delivering them, as well as on air quality opportunities and risks related to transport in the borough. Provide a briefing which can be disseminated amongst the Transport team	 ONGOING Transport staff are closely involved in air quality initiatives and projects and have been involved in JSNA development. Recommendations of the JSNA are shared across Council services and the Council aims to incorporate them in all relevant strategies. The Healthy Weight, Healthy Lives Strategy work has been led during this past year by the Healthy Weight, Healthy Lives group (incl. leads from the active travel / transport team). The strategy will be updated, timescale to be confirmed and will be informed by an upcoming CYP Healthy Weight JSNA (in development). Let's Go Southall: initiative to encourage residents of Southall to be more physically active - delivered by providing the necessary facilities and services for a more healthy and active lifestyle. Healthy Weight Strategy group has now been superseded by the following groups: CYP: Giving Children the best Start in Life CYP: Supporting Children and Young people to achieve healthy lifestyles / weight. Once the JSNA is complete a new updated strategy will be produced. Separately the successful Let's Go Southall bid and work has included active travel as a key component in a bid to encourage more people in Southall to use active travel as an alternative method than using cars.

Measure	LLAQM Action Matrix Theme	Action	Progress Emissions/Concentration data Benefits Negative impacts / Complaints
23	Monitoring and Other Core Statutory Duties	PM _{2.5} Monitoring	 ONGOING The council is currently evaluating resources required to monitor for PM_{2.5} at Horn Lane, including installation of a new PM_{2.5} monitor at the site. Although there are no specific measures targeting the reduction of PM_{2.5} currently, it is expected that the combination of actions and that are currently in force or coming into force will help to bring about a reduction of PM_{2.5}. However, discussions are being held with Public Health to devise policies that will specifically target the reduction of PM_{2.5}

3. Planning Update and Other New Sources of Emissions

Table I gives a summary of planning requirements relating to air quality in the London Borough of Ealing in 2022. All planning applications, including those for the discharge of conditions relating to air quality, are logged and validated by the Planning Support Team. A consultation request for each application is sent to the Planning Enforcement and Environment Team, where air quality officers will identify matters needing their input and will recommend appropriate conditions to the planning case officer. The air quality officer will, if necessary, request further details and will liaise as required with the applicant and/or their air quality consultant to ensure that any recommendations to the case officer are soundly based and provide the necessary coverage of all air quality matters.

Currently planning conditions relating to air quality will be investigated and enforced in response to complaint, for example where there is a dust issue at a construction site and a construction management plan is in place that was required by a planning condition.

Condition	Number
Number of planning applications where an air quality impact assessment was reviewed for air quality impacts	236 (See Note 1)
Number of planning applications required to monitor for construction dust	73 (See Note 2)
Number of CHPs/Biomass boilers refused on air quality grounds	0
Number of CHPs/Biomass boilers subject to GLA emissions limits and/or other restrictions to reduce emissions	5
Number of developments required to install Ultra-Low NO _x boilers	15
Number of developments where an AQ Neutral building and/or transport assessments undertaken	45
Number of developments where the AQ Neutral building and/or transport assessments not meeting the benchmark and so required to include additional mitigation	4
Number of planning applications with S106 agreements including other requirements to improve air quality	<u>55</u>
Number of planning applications with CIL payments that include a contribution to improve air quality	<u>0</u>
NRMM: Central Activity Zone, Canary Wharf and Opportunity Areas	
Number of conditions related to NRMM included.	N/A
Number of developments registered and compliant.	

Table I.Planning requirements met by planning applications in LondonBorough of Ealing in 2022

Condition	Number
Number of audits	
% of sites unregistered prior to audit	
Please include confirmation that you have checked that the development has been registered with the GLA through the relevant <u>NRMM website</u> and that all NRMM used on-site is compliant with Stage Stage IV of the Directive and/or exemptions to the policy.	
NRMM: Greater London (excluding Central Activity Zone, Canary Wharf and Opportunity Areas)	55 conditions included.
Number of conditions related to NRMM included.	26 sites were audited 1 was
Number of developments registered and compliant.	self-compliant, 8 compliant, 3
Number of audits	were non-compliant, 3 did not required to be registered on
% of sites unregistered prior to audit	NRMM website and finally
Please include confirmation that you have checked that the development has been registered at www.nrmm.london and that all NRMM used on-site is compliant with Stage IIIB of the Directive	11 sites were completed.
and/or exemptions to the policy.	6 sites were unregistered, accounting for 23% of all the audited sites.

Note 1. This is the number of full planning applications initially reviewed by officers for air quality

impacts. It does not include condition discharge applications where an air quality condition has been

set and details are submitted in compliance with the condition.

Note 2. Monitoring is taken to include visual monitoring.

3.1 New or significantly changed industrial or other sources

No new sources identified.

4. Additional Activities to Improve Air Quality

4.1 London Borough of Ealing Fleet

Please provide details of how many a) zero emission and b) zero emission capable vehicles there are within your borough's fleet, and what percentage of the fleet these represent.

Ealing council's vehicle fleet comprises of:

- a) 25 zero emission fleet representing 27% of the total fleet.
- b) 61 zero emission capable fleet representing 66% of the total fleet.

The rest of the fleet of 7 is not zero emission capable and represents 7%.

4.2 NRMM Enforcement Project

Ealing council continues to collaborate with Merton Council to enforce the Council's NRMM policies. The Air Quality team sets NRMM conditions on developments and requests developers to register their site details on NRMM website for officers to Merton Council to audit.

4.2 Air Quality Alerts

The London Borough of Ealing has subscribed to airTEXT and advertises its services on Ealing Air website and on social media to raise awareness for residents to subscribe.

Appendix A Details of Monitoring Site Quality QA/QC

A.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

The four active automatic monitoring sites in the borough were operated as part of the LAQN. Data have traceability to national standards and operational procedures defined for the LAQN. The Horn Lane site is also part of the national Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN), operated by the Environment Agency to monitor compliance with the EU Directives. AURN QA/QC procedures involve 4-weekly calibration of NOx and maintenance of particulate samplers.

PM₁₀ Monitoring Adjustment

Monitoring is conducted using TEOMs at two of the four automatic monitoring stations. There is therefore a need to eliminate the effect of changing humidity on the mass measurement; the TEOM is required to maintain the sample filter at an elevated temperature, which may lead to losses of semi-volatile species such as ammonium nitrate. The Volatile Correction Model (VCM) uses local FDMS monitoring sites to correct TEOM measurements for the loss of volatile components of particulate matter that occur due to the high sampling temperatures employed by this instrument. This adjustment to PM₁₀ data is provided by the London Air Quality Network.

A.2 Diffusion Tubes

AIR is an independent analytical proficiency-testing (PT) scheme, operated by LGC Standards and supported by the Health and Safety Laboratory (HSL). AIR PT is a scheme, started in April 2014, which combines two long running PT schemes: LGC Standards STACKS PT scheme and HSL Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP) PT scheme.

AIR NO₂ PT forms an integral part of the UK NO₂ Network's QA/QC and is a useful tool in assessing the analytical performance of those laboratories supplying diffusion tubes to Local Authorities for use in the context of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM). Defra and the Devolved Administrations advise that diffusion tubes used for LAQM should be obtained from laboratories that have demonstrated satisfactory performance in the AIR-PT scheme. The results for Socotec (formerly Environmental Scientifics Group (ESG) Didcot) were overall satisfactory. The laboratory scored

100% satisfactory results between January 2022 and February 2022 (AR049) and 100% satisfactory results between May 2022 and June 2022 (AR050).

Factor from Local Co-location Studies

Bias adjustment is a calculated factor, which shows whether diffusion tubes are over or under reading ambient concentrations and therefore allows for a correction to be made.

Ealing carries out studies at three sites where triplicate diffusion tubes are co-located with automatic monitors for the purpose of deriving a local bias adjustment factor. In 2022, the combined local bias adjustment factor derived from these studies was 0.75.

Figure 14 shows the details of the calculation of the local bias adjustment factors. The calculation of local bias adjustment factors takes into account both data capture from diffusion tubes and automatic monitors, and also the coefficient of variation (CV) of the triplicate diffusion tubes. If the CV is too high for a particular period, that period is not taken into account when calculating the local bias adjustment factor. Periods where automatic monitoring data capture rates are less than 90% are also excluded.

			Go back to \$1	FEP 3 - Bias Adjustment to	define factor
	STEP 3a Local Bias Adjustment Input 1	STEP 3b Local Bias Adjustment Input 2	STEP 3c Local Bias Adjustment Input 3	STEP 3d Local Bias Adjustment Input 4	STEP 3e Local Bia Adjustment Input
Periods used to calculate bias	12	12	12		
Bias Adjustment Factor A	0.76 (0.7 - 0.82)	0.76 (0.7 - 0.84)	0.74 (0.68 - 0.8)		
Diffusion Tube Bias B	32% (22% - 42%)	32% (19% - 44%)	36% (25% - 47%)		
Diffusion Tube Mean (ug/m³)	68.4	46.5	39.8		
Mean CV (Precision)	5.4%	6.3%	5.5%		
Automatic Mean (ug/m³)	51.7	35.3	29.3		
Data Capture	99%	99%	99%		
Adjusted Tube Mean (µg/m³)	52 (48 - 56)	35 (33 - 39)	29 (27 - 32)		
Overall Diffusion Tube Precision	Good Overall Precision	Good Overall Precision	Good Overall Precision		
Overall billusion rube Frecision	Good Overall Precision	Good Overall Data Capture	Good Overall Pata Capture		

		Leafing and Fastan	Calavilatian
Flaure 12.	LOCAL BLAS AD	iustment Factor	Calculation
			•••••••

The national bias adjustment factor for co-location diffusion tube studies in 2022 analysed by Socotec (formerly Environmental Scientifics Group (ESG) Didcot) using a preparation method of 20% TEA/water was calculated to be 0.76. This has been taken from the national bias adjustment spreadsheet 03/22, as shown in Figure 15.

National Diffusion Tube	Bias Adjust	ment Fa	acto	r Spreadsheet			Spreads	heet Ver	sion Numbe	er: 03/23
Follow the steps below in the correct order to Data only apply to tubes exposed monthly and Whenever presenting adjusted data, you sho This spreadhseet will be updated every few m	o show the results of d are not suitable for (uld state the adjustm nonths: the factors ma	relevant co-lo correcting indiv ent factor used ay therefore be	cation idual s and th subje	studies hort-term monitoring periods le version of the spreadsheet ct to change. This should not discourage	their imme	diate use.		This spr at t	eadsheet w he end of Ju M Helpdest	ill be updated ine 2023 : Website
The LAQM Helpdesk is operated on behalf of Defra AECOM and the National Physical Laboratory.	and the Devolved Admi	nistrations by Bu	ireau V	eritas, in conjunction with contract partners	Spreadshe compiled t	et maintained t by Air Quality Co	y the National I nsultants Ltd.	Physical I	_aboratory.	Original
Step 1:	Step 2:	Step 3:	Step 4:							
Select the Laboratory that Analyses Your Tubes from the Drop-Down List	Select a Preparation Method from the Drop- Down List	Select a Year from the Drop- Down List	ar. De- d Where there is only one study for a chosen combination, you should use the adjustment factor shown with caution. Where there is more than one study, use the overall factor ² shown in blue at the foot of the final column.							
If a laboratory is not shown, we have no data for this laboratory.	If a preparation method is not shown, we have no data for this method at this laboratory.	If a year is not shown, we have no data ²	If you have your own co-location study then see footnote ⁶ . If uncertain what to do then contact the Local Air Quality Management Helpdesk at LAQIIHelpdesk@Dureauveritas.com or 0600 0327953						anagement	
Analysed By ¹	Method To undo yourzelection, choose (All) from the pop-up list	Year ⁵ Taunda yaur yelection, chaore (All)	Site Type	Local Authority	Length of Study (months)	Diffusion Tube Mean Conc. (Dm) (µg/m ⁸)	Automatic Monitor Mean Conc. (Cm) (µg/m ^s)	Bias (B)	Tube Precision ^e	Bias Adjustment Factor (A) (Cm/Dm)
SOCOTEC Dideot	20% TEA in water	2022	KS	Marylebone Road Intercomparison	12	59	42	38.9%	G	0.72
SOCOTEC Dideot	20% TEA in water	2022	R	New Forest District Council	9	27	20	33.9%	G	0.75
SOCOTEC Dideot	20% TEA in water	2022	KS	Nfde	12	37	25	46.5%	G	0.68
SOCOTEC Dideot	20% TEA in water	2022	R	South Oxfordshire Distric Council	12	25	18	33.8%	G	0.75
SOCOTEC Dideot	20% TEA in water	2022	R	South Oxfordshire District Council	12	36	32	10.0%	G	0.91
SOCOTEC Dideot	20% TEA in water	2022		Overall Factor ³ (5 studies)					lse	0.76

Figure 13. National Bias Adjustment Factor Calculation

Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use

The national bias adjustment factor was applied to the 2022 monitoring data. A conservative approach was taken, with the national bias adjustment factor selected as it is slightly higher than the local factor.

Table J. presents the bias adjustment factors used for LAQM purposes in the borough since 2015.

Table J.	Bias	djustment Factor
----------	-------------	------------------

Year	Local or National	If National, Version of National Spreadsheet	Adjustment Factor
2022	National	03/23	0.76
2021	Local	-	0.76
2020	Local	-	0.80
2019	Local	-	0.79
2018	Local	-	0.84
2017	Local	-	0.72
2016	Local	-	0.81
2015	Local	-	0.76

A.3 Adjustments to the Ratified Monitoring Data

Short-term to Long-term Data Adjustment

Where data capture is less than 75% and greater than 25% of a full calendar year (between 3 and 9 months), the mean should be "annualised" – i.e., adjusted using the methodology outlined in LLAQM.TG(19) before being compared to annual mean objectives.

There was one diffusion tube location which required annualisation, EA53. Of the continuous monitoring data, EI3 Acton Vale required annualisation for NO_2 and PM_{10} , while EA6 Hanger Lane Gyratory, EA8 Horn Lane and EI1 Western Avenue required annualisation of the PM_{10} data.

The continuous monitoring data chosen for annualisation of diffusion tube NO₂ data were Kensington and Chelsea North Kensington, Harrow Stanmore and Hillingdon Keats Way. The continuous monitoring data chosen for annualisation of continuous NO₂ data were Wandsworth Town Hall, Lambeth Streatham Green and Camden Bloomsbury. The continuous monitoring data chosen for annualisation of PM₁₀ data were Lambeth Streatham Green and Camden Bloomsbury.

Table K. outlines the calculations for the annualisation factor applied to the NO₂ monitoring data, and Table L. outlines the calculations for the annualisation factor applied to the PM₁₀ monitoring data.

Distance Adjustment

If an exceedance is measured at a monitoring site which is not representative of public exposure, use the procedure specified in LLAQM.TG(19) to estimate the concentration at the nearest receptor and describe the process followed here. Distance correction was not required at any location in 2022.

Site ID	Annualisation Factor North Ken	Annualisation Factor Stanmore	Annualisation Factor Keats Way	Annualisation Factor Site 4 Name	Average Annualisation Factor	Raw Data Annual Mean (µg m⁻³)	Annualised Annual Mean (µg m ⁻³)	Comments
EA53	0.7242	0.7103	0.7869		0.7405	66.1	48.9	

 Table L.
 Short-Term to Long-Term Monitoring Data Adjustment – Continuous Monitoring Data

Site ID	Annualisation Factor Streatham Green	Annualisation Factor Bloomsbury	Annualisation Factor Wandsworth Town Hall	Annualisation Factor Site 4 Name	Average Annualisation Factor	Raw Data Annual Mean (µg m ⁻³)	Annualised Annual Mean (μg m ⁻³)	Comments
EI3 (NO ₂)	0.9415	0.9247	0.9866		0.9509	21.7	20.6	
EA6 (PM ₁₀)	0.9889	0.9748			0.9818	18.5	18.1	
EA8 (PM ₁₀)	0.9273	0.7320			0.8297	32.9	27.3	
EI3 (PM ₁₀)	0.9218	0.7436			0.8327	20.6	17.2	
EI1 (PM ₁₀)	0.9854	0.9781			0.9818	25.5	25.0	

Appendix B Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2022

Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	June	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual mean – raw data	Annual mean – bias adjusted
EA1	100.0	100.0	55.7	48.4	50.9	38.3	39.7	37.4	40.1	43.9	37.3	39.5	46.8	50.2	44.0	33.5
EA2	92.5	92.5		41.6	51.1	36.9	32.6	30.7	35.9	36.2	38.4	19.7	40.5	47.8	37.4	28.4
EA3	92.6	92.6	28.7	19.3	20.1	16.1	13.4	13	13.9		14.5	45.8	20	24.7	20.9	15.9
EA4	100.0	100.0	49.6	34.5	42.4	36	26.2	26	24.3	28.3	28.4		34.3	36.7	33.3	25.3
EA5	73.0	73.0	41.1	32.6	35	32.2		28	26.1	29.3	36.7	49.2			34.5	26.2
EA6	100.0	100.0	54	45.2	62.4	44.9	38.4	38.7	43.8	45.7	43.3	61.6	42.7	44.5	47.1	35.8
EA7	92.5	92.5		28.3	33.6	26.1	21.9	20.2	21.8	24.9	27	29	30	29	26.5	20.2
EA8	92.3	92.3	47.2		50.6	40.5	36.8	35	35.6	49	43.2	38.9	43.1	47.4	42.5	32.3
EA9	100.0	100.0	44.2	30.3	33.1	30.4	21.2	23.8	24.9	30.5	27.7	32.4	31.1	39.5	30.8	23.4
EA10	91.9	91.9	48.5	35.9	36.7	29.8	26.9	25.9	32	38.4	40.5	43		35.8	35.8	27.2
EA11	92.3	92.3	39.8	25.9		27	19.3	17.4	21.6	24	23.4	24.6	24.3	31.5	25.3	19.3
EA12	100.0	100.0	33.7	27.7	39	29.2	23.6	23.8	21.8	32.5	28.9	34.5	33.5	34.6	30.2	23.0
EA13	100.0	100.0	54.5	49.7	56.7	43.6	40.6	45.4	43	46.6	41.5	53	48.9	48	47.6	36.2
EA14	100.0	100.0	57.3	48.2	40.9	37.6	38.8	30.3	40.9	35	44.6	50.1	41.5	47.6	42.7	32.5
EA15	100.0	100.0	48	33.8	38.6	34.2	22.8	23.2	27	32.1	30.3	36.1	31.3	39.9	33.1	25.2
EA16	100.0	100.0	47.1	38.6	34.7	33.1	31.5	29.7	33.8	31.2	31	34.1	35.1	41.1	35.1	26.7
EA17	100.0	100.0	44	32.4	35.3	26.2	22.9	24.9	25.2	26	29.9	36.3	36	25	30.3	23.1
EA18	100.0	100.0	43.3	33.7	37.1	29.4	26.5	25	24.7	29	31	35.8	31.8	34.4	31.8	24.2
EA19	100.0	100.0	48.6	36.8	36.6	30	26.3	27.8	26.3	27.2	30.9	32.7	36.4	37.9	33.1	25.2
EA20	92.3	92.3	44.8	40.1	38.5	30.3	34.1	30.2		33.7	35.2	41	37.2	37.2	36.6	27.8
EA21	92.3	92.3	37.9		39.7	19	19.4	23.5	21.7	28.9	29.6	25.8	24.8	29.2	27.2	20.7
EA22	92.6	92.6	45.5	27.9	31.5	26.2	24.7	23	23.6		25.5	31.3	32.9	31.3	29.4	22.3
EA23	92.0	92.0	68.9	44.9	41.9	38.5	33.3		32.7	40.2	48.8	51.8	48.7	44.5	44.9	34.1
EA24	100.0	100.0	47.8	27.8	53.8	33.3	27.2	24.5	27.1	34.7	39.5	43.6	41.2	35.2	36.3	27.6
EA25	92.3	92.3	53	38.6	44	41.2	38	33.6		38.8	41.1	49.2	41	42	41.9	31.8
EA26	100.0	100.0	75.7	59.9	58.8	54.4	50.6	52.1	54.3	50.7	58.1	59	62.9	51.7	57.4	43.6
EA27	100.0	100.0	46.2	31.3	35.4	25.2	17.4	18.7	19.5	20.2	23.8	37.5	29.7	33.9	28.2	21.5
EA28	100.0	100.0	66.3	50.1	54	42.3	44	40.8	42.3	41.9	54.9	61.8	42.5	49.6	49.2	37.4

Table M. NO₂ Diffusion Tube Results

Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	June	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual mean – raw data	Annual mean – bias adjusted
EA29	100.0	100.0	41.8	35.5	41	29.8	23.6	25.6	25.5	29.2	30.9	40.5	36.3	41.3	33.4	25.4
EA30	100.0	100.0	79.7	65.1	62.9	54.4	64.6	69.2	58.3	55.8	73	80.6	71.6	85.2	-	-
EA31	92.3	92.3	80.6	71.4	62.4	61.8	61.9	65.5	68.7	57.7	71.5	79.7	70.9	71.8	-	-
EA32	83.9	83.9	82.7	74.8	65	52.3	64.9	72.6	60.3	53.9	67.1	88.1	68.3	67.5	68.4	52.0
EA33	100.0	100.0	67.2	38.7	52.1	50.7	40.7	39.6	41.9	47.9		52.8	39.8	48.7	47.3	35.9
EA34	100.0	100.0	42.6	32.3	40	35.4	24.7		37.4	46.7	40.3	41.4		47.7	38.9	29.5
EA35	100.0	100.0	56.7	36.7	58.5	46.8	33.8	33.2	38	51.3	41.3	64.8	44.6	47.5	46.1	35.0
EA36	82.4	82.4	63.9	48.9	52.2	32.6	37.4	30.8	39.1	40.5	46.7	55.1	52.2	48.7	-	-
EA37	92.0	92.0	67.3	49.4	51.6	38.2	37.6	36.8	39.3	42	43.4	68.3	40.2	44.4	-	-
EA38	100.0	100.0	60.9	49.5	53	37.5	38.5	37.7	39.3	43.7	50.8	72.4	38.6	44.3	46.5	35.3
EA39	91.9	91.9	48.3	33.9	41.8	33.2	29.1	29.7	33.5	33	35.3	38.2	34.9	37.2	35.7	27.1
EA40	100.0	100.0	48.2	32.7	35.7	24.6			26.8	26	31.3	37.1	33.3	34.2	33.0	25.1
EA41	100.0	100.0	50.2	34.2	35.9	28.5	25.3		23.2	24.5	32	15.1	33.6	33.8	30.6	23.2
EA42	83.0	83.0	54.7	44	50.7	40	34.6	38	35.3	45.8	42.4	47	41.6	41.4	43.0	32.6
EA43	91.9	91.9	45	31.7	45.4	27.9	24.5	27.1	25.5	30.6	35	36.3		38.4	33.4	25.4
EA44	100.0	100.0	41	28.7	35.2	24.9	19.8	21.1	23.4	25.9	26.8	34.4	30.6	33.4	28.8	21.9
EA45	100.0	100.0	50.3	34.8	48.9	39.7	26.7	28	29.2	39.3	39.6	49	44.2	38.6	39.0	29.7
EA46	100.0	100.0	71.5	53.3	77	70.4	53.5	56.7		70.7	45	62.4	44.7		60.5	46.0
EA47	100.0	100.0	53.5	39.4	42.5	31.9	30.7	29.3	31.2	36	35.5	59		30.3	38.1	29.0
EA48	100.0	100.0	57.8	40.6	53.1	43.5	40.1	39.8	39.1	45.3	70.5	51	107	39.1	52.2	39.7
EA49	24.8	24.8	50.5	32.3	40.8	31	23.2	22.9	23.4	33.1	33.2	33.2	31.7	31.8	32.3	24.5
EA50	92.3	92.3	40.1	29.5	39.1	31.2	24.8	23.6	24.8	30.9	30.6	34	30.5	35.1	31.2	23.7
EA51	100.0	100.0	73	53.8	61.2	54.9	37.6	45.8	47.2	54.9	47.3	59.4	47.5	47.4	52.5	39.9
EA52	100.0	100.0	42.7	26.7	37.4	30.5	19.9	17.7	24.1	28.8	28.7	30.7	36.7	32.7	29.7	22.6
EA53	100.0	100.0	55.7		65.6							77			66.1	37.2
EA54	100.0	100.0	48.6		42.2	34.1	27.5	29.6	27	33.7	39.1	51.3	37.5	41.7	37.5	28.5
EA55	84.2	84.2	45.5	32.2	43.6	32.3	27.5	24.2	27.8	38	36.8	41.8	35.6	37.5	35.2	26.8
EA56	74.9	74.9	51.5		47.8	37.6	36.9	26.9	29.6		36.6		48.3	44.3	-	-
EA57	100.0	100.0	53.7	43.1	46.9	34.4	34.9	29.1	31.1	37.7	37.9	43.4	49.1		-	-
EA58	84.8	84.8	52.5	42.6	37.6	37.7	33.1	29.7	28	35	36.8	45	53.6	36.2	39.8	30.2
EA59	92.5	92.5	44.1	36.4	44.3	34.1	29.4	25.4	27.7	29.6	31.9	34.9	34.6	39.4	34.3	26.1
EA60	76.7	76.7	49.6	34.3	43.7	30	25.7	22.5	24.1	26.9	32	48.8	35.6	39.2	34.4	26.1

Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^(a)	Valid data capture 2022 % ^(b)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	June	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual mean – raw data	Annual mean – bias adjusted
EA61			47.1	34.7	40.4	31.3	27.2	26.2	26.6	33.4		46.5		41	35.4	26.9
EA62			35.2	26.3		21.2	17.2	17.8	18.2	22.6			34.1	35.2	25.3	19.2
EA63			51.1	36.1	40.5	26	23.4	20	25.1	25	28.8	40.1	33.2	36.1	32.1	24.4
EA64				52.2	54.7	50.4	45.1	47.6	44.5	47.1		58.7	48.1	49.5	49.8	37.8
EA65				27.3	32.8	19.5	16.8	15.9	17.7	21.1	21.8	33.7	27.1	29.3	23.9	18.2
EA66				31.6	39.2	29.4	20.8	25.1	21.8	29.6		41.3		45.5	31.6	24.0

Notes

Concentrations are presented as μ g m⁻³.

Exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean AQO of 40 μ g m⁻³ are shown in **bold**.

NO₂ annual means in excess of 60 µg m-³, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO₂ hourly mean AQS objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

All means have been "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance if valid data capture for the calendar year is less than 75% and greater than 25%.

(a) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(b) data capture for the full calendar year (e.g., if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%).