

**University of London** 

# Air quality in London 2004

**London Air Quality Network** Report 12

### **Main contact**

London Air Quality Network Environmental Research Group King's College London 4th Floor Franklin-Wilkins Building 150 Stamford Street London SE1 9NH 020 7848 4019 erg@kcl.ac.uk

### **Credits**

Written by Gary Fuller and Paul Johnson Designed by Susan Buchanan Printed by Aldridge Print Group using environmental print technologies including vegetable-based inks and low alcohol damping on press. Printed on Revive Silk recycled paper made from 75% de-inked post-consumer waste with a maximum of 25% mill broke.

### Introduction

The London Air Quality Network is a unique and valuable resource. Its inception and continued development would not have been possible without the support of the local authorities in London and the Home Counties, the Association of London Government, London's health authorities and the Association of London Environmental Health Managers. The support of the Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, the Environment Agency, the Greater London Authority and Transport for London is also gratefully acknowledged.

The measurements detailed in this report result from a team effort, undertaken by staff who are dedicated and committed to their work.

### **The Environmental Research Group**

**Director of the Environmental Research Group** Professor Frank Kelly

\*Suggested first point of contact within each team.

### Air quality monitoring

Jon Alexander Timothy Baker Ben Barratt Hima Chouhan Gary Fuller\* David Green Andrew Greive Paul Johnson Daniel Marsh Louise Meston Ellie Norris Karin Nilsdotter Ana Rowan Anja Tremper Air quality modelling

Sean Beevers\* David Dajnak Stephen Hedley Hrishi Mittal

Emissions Stephen Hedley\* Stephen Inch Jennie Preen

**Finance** Maria Lavender\*

**Computing** Robert Hepburn\* Ian Troughton

Administration Amanda Cue\* Wendy Rowlands

### Contents

4 Foreword Frank Kelly

**6** Summary Gary Fuller

7 Introduction

8 Air quality measurements

**10** Air quality during 2004

**16** Medium-term changes in air pollution in London

**20** Progress towards the attainment of AQS objectives

**24** Appendix 1: LAQN monitoring sites

**32** Appendix 2: DEFRA directly funded sites

**33** Appendix 3: Monitoring results

**41** Appendix 4: Air quality strategy objectives and UK air quality information system

**43** References

### Foreword

Professor Frank Kelly, Director of the Environmental Research Group (ERG)

elcome to the twelfth annual report of the London Air Quality Network (LAQN). The report provides a strategic overview of air pollution across London during 2004. It is important both as a stand-alone document for comparison with other cities and as part of the ongoing annual air pollution record for London. This publication provides a vital resource for anyone interested in air quality, especially those working at local and national levels to help reduce the level of air pollution in the UK.

The LAQN is managed by the Air Quality Monitoring Group led by Gary Fuller at King's College London. The information in this report is enhanced by input from the Air Quality Management Group within the Environmental Research Group. The combined and complementary expertise of the individuals in these groups is further evident in the LAQN website **www.londonair.org.uk**. This excellent resource has summaries of air pollution across the London and a number of tools to allow the user to analyse and plot data. This resource will be of benefit to all those with an interest in air quality.

2004 proved to be another challenging year for air

quality in London. The capital experienced widespread breaches of the Air Quality Strategy (AQS) Objectives. There are additional concerns regarding deteriorations in primary nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and particle (PM<sub>10</sub>) concentrations and medium term increases in annual mean ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) concentration. The increase in primary NO<sub>2</sub> emissions in London was unexpected and has posed new problems that must be dealt with.

With traffic accounting for two thirds of the oxides of nitrogen ( $NO_X$ ) emissions and nearly half of the  $PM_{10}$  emissions in London, measures to tackle the poor air quality in London have to focus on this underlying source. To his credit, the Mayor is approaching this task with vigour, introducing a raft of measures that target many aspects of London traffic. For example, to help meet the costs of introducing a cleaner taxi fleet, an environmental surcharge of 20p per journey was introduced in April 2005. Further improvements have been made to the London bus fleet, through both a vehicle replacement scheme and retro-fitting of particle traps. Together these changes have ensured that every London bus now meets the highest practical emission





standard. The London fire engine and police vehicle update programmes have also ensured that these public vehicles now meet the highest emissions standards.

Notwithstanding these changes, plans are progressing to extend the London Congestion Charging Scheme (CCS) westwards and although it was never introduced as an air quality improvement programme, it is likely that the CCS will make an important contribution to improving air quality in London. Further consultations are also underway regarding the introduction of a London-wide Low Emission Zone (LEZ), the purpose of which is to target the heaviest and most polluting diesel vehicles. Given the worldwide worries about diesel emissions and public health, especially children's health, these new initiatives should provide benefit to Londoners and those who work in and visit the capital.

The introduction of such an array of changes in one major urban setting has provided a unique opportunity to monitor their accrued benefit. To this end, the importance of London's air quality management initiatives has been recognised by the US Health Effects Institute, who are funding two major air pollution and health studies. These are being undertaken by a consortium composed of St. Georges Hospital, the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Transport for London and King's College London. This interdisciplinary group of epidemiologists, toxicologists and air pollution scientists are providing new insights into the air pollution and health impacts of Europe's largest intervention in urban traffic management.

Even given these substantial ongoing changes, Londoners still undoubtedly face many difficulties with the quality of the air they breathe. The measures already, or soon to be in place, have focused attention across the globe as all large urban environments face similar problems. Hence, although containing some encouraging information, this report highlights how much more must be done at all levels, from the individual, local councils and Government, to combat the problem of air pollution.

### Summary Gary Fuller, Head of Air Quality Monitoring

he air pollution incidents experienced in London during 2003 were not repeated during 2004. As a consequence, there was substantial improvement in air quality in London during the year.

The London Air Quality Network (LAQN) annual mean index provides a useful tool to track the changes in air pollution in the capital relative to concentrations measured at the start of the index during 1996. During 2004, the LAQN annual mean index reduced for all pollutants. The largest reductions during the year (relative to 1996) were exhibited by  $PM_{10}$  (-15%),  $NO_2$  (-11%),  $NO_X$  (-10%),  $O_3$  (-9%) and  $SO_2$  (-8%) with only a slight change (-2%) in CO. Despite these reductions, the Air Quality Strategy (AQS) Objectives were exceeded widely.

During 2004, the annual mean AQS NO<sub>2</sub> Objective was exceeded alongside the vast majority of major roads and also at background locations in inner and west London. Four kerbside and six roadside sites also exceeded the incident-based Objective for NO<sub>2</sub>.

Despite the consistent reduction in the annual mean NO<sub>X</sub> concentration since 1996, the reduction in the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> has been more variable. By the end of 2003, the annual mean concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> was only 2% below 1996 concentrations. NO<sub>2</sub> concentration did, however, reduce during 2004 and by the end of the year the annual mean was 13% below that experienced during 1996. However, at this stage it is not known if the reduction in the annual mean NO2 concentration indicates a longer-term trend or if the improvements during 2004 are part of the pattern of annual variability in annual mean NO2. The 13% reduction in the annual mean NO2 concentration was achieved by a 39% reduction in annual mean NO<sub>X</sub>. The relative insensitivity of annual mean  $NO_2$  to reduction in NO<sub>X</sub> is partially to be expected as a consequence of the atmospheric chemistry that determines NO2 concentrations. However, new research has pointed to the additional influence of increases in the emission of primary NO<sub>2</sub>. This may be masking underlying reductions in the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> brought about by NO<sub>X</sub> reduction (Carslaw, 2005).

Indeed, during 2003 and 2004, several roadside monitoring sites experienced substantial increases in annual mean  $NO_2$  which may represent evidence of the effects of primary emissions of this pollutant.

The  $PM_{10}$  pollution incidents which affected London during 2003 were not repeated during 2004. The number of days per year with mean  $PM_{10}$  greater than 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> were reduced substantially at background sites during 2004, returning to levels that prevailed before the pollution incidents in 2003. However, at kerb and roadside sites in inner London, the annual number of daily means greater than 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> did not return to pre-2003 levels. The  $PM_{10}$  Objective was exceeded alongside major roads during 2004 and at the two sites adjacent to waste facilities. The annual mean concentration of  $PM_{10}$  reduced by 30% between 1996 and 2004. However, this reduction was achieved before the turn of the century and there is evidence of an increase in the mean concentration of  $PM_{10}$  since 2000.

During August 2003,  $O_3$  concentrations in south east England reached their highest levels measured since 1990. During 2004, such pollution incidents were not repeated. However, the AQS Objective for  $O_3$  was exceeded throughout suburban areas of London and in the Home Counties. The annual mean concentration of  $O_3$  in London has risen by 33% since 1996.

All monitoring sites met the AQS Objectives for CO and SO<sub>2</sub>. Since 1996 annual mean concentrations of CO and SO<sub>2</sub> reduced by over 50%.

During 2004 the LAQN continued to grow and adapt. Eight new monitoring sites were installed in the London Boroughs of Bexley, Brent, Greenwich and Ealing. Additional equipment was also installed at four monitoring sites. Furthermore, new automated  $PM_{10}$ measurement technology was installed at several sites, enabling the quantification of volatile  $PM_{10}$  across the capital. This expansion will allow the existing LAQN to begin to address the new research questions that are key to the future success of air quality management in London. These include the quantification of primary emissions of NO<sub>2</sub> and the improved source apportionment of  $PM_{10}$ .

### Introduction

his report details measurements of air quality in London. The report updates the summary results for 2004 published during Spring 2005. Measurements have been analysed with specific reference to the Air Quality Strategy (AQS) Objectives which are detailed in Appendix 4. Full details of the sites in the London Air Quality Network (LAQN) are presented in *Appendix 1* and the detailed monitoring results are presented in *Appendix 3*.

The LAQN was formed in 1993 to coordinate and improve air pollution monitoring in London. Currently, 30 London boroughs are supplying measurements to the network. In addition, these data are being supplemented by measurements from local authorities surrounding London, thereby providing an overall perspective of air pollution in south east England. The LAQN is operated and managed by the Environmental Research Group (ERG) at King's College London (King's). Each borough funds air quality monitoring in its own area, whilst the core LAQN activities are funded by King's. The Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) funds King's to operate the Marylebone Road site and to maintain 14 of the LAQN sites as affiliate sites to the UK Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN). This DEFRA support assists the operation of the overall LAQN.

Further monitoring sites have been supported by Transport for London to help assess the Congestion Charging Scheme. (CSS).

Analysis of LAQN measurements has been augmented by measurements from the directly-funded DEFRA sites in London. These 6 sites, listed in Appendix 2, provide further information concerning pollution in central and west London. Measurements from DEFRA sites were provided by AEAT plc from the National Air Quality Archive and are included within the LAQN database.

To understand air pollution in London it is necessary to understand air pollution in the surrounding area and vice-versa. To support this understanding, the LAQN also includes sites in Berkshire, Essex, Kent and Surrey. A more complete picture of air pollution in south east England can be obtained from the combined results of the LAQN, the Kent Air Quality Monitoring Network (KAQMN), the Hertfordshire and Bedfordshire Air Pollution Monitoring Network (HBAPMN) and the Sussex Air Quality Steering Group (SAQSG) network. Measurements from these networks are available from King's.

Hourly updated measurements from the LAQN and neighbouring networks are published by King's on the Internet at **www.londonair.org.uk** 



### Air quality measurements

ir quality measurements in the LAQN are made using a range of continuous air quality monitoring equipment. Measurements are subject to two quality assurance processes. Initially, measurements are validated using the best calibration and instrument performance information available at the time. Measurements are retrospectively examined during the ratification process, using long-term instrument histories and the results of further quality checks. Sites are classified into three quality standards. Measurements from sites affiliated to the AURN and London Standard sites have traceabilty to National Metrological Standards, whereas for the Locality Standard sites there is insufficient information to demonstrate such traceability. Equipment at AURN and London Standard sites are subject to independent UKAS accredited audits undertaken by the National Physical Laboratory and by AEAT plc. The final measurements for the AURN sites are published by DEFRA at www.airquality.co.uk

No scientific measurement is absolutely accurate or absolutely precise. The combination of accuracy and precision is termed the uncertainty. In order to place measurements in context, the uncertainty



#### **PM<sub>10</sub> correction factors**

The EU Daughter Directive is based on a 'gravimetric' method where  $PM_{10}$  is collected on a filter that is then weighed in a laboratory (CEN, 1998). Currently, DEFRA is undertaking a comprehensive  $PM_{10}$  instrument comparison at several locations in the UK that should provide further information on the performance of the commonly deployed  $PM_{10}$  measurement methods.

However, there is ample evidence to suggest that the most common measurement methodology employed in the UK, the Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM), produces a result lower than the 'gravimetric' method (APEG, 1999; Green et al., 2000). DETR (1999) suggested that a correction factor of 1.3 be applied to TEOM results for comparison to the AQS Objective.

Beta Attenuation Monitors (BAM) are also used to measure PM<sub>10</sub> in the LAQN. Research at Marylebone Road (Green, 1999) sought to compare the results from TEOM, 'gravimetric' and BAM instruments. The BAM instrument tested produced higher results than the 'gravimetric' method at this location during the test period. Based on the findings of Green (1999) and Local Air Quality Management guidance. www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm/review/questions.html all BAM measurements have been multiplied by 0.83 for comparison to the AQS Objective.

This report also includes  $PM_{10}$  measurements from TEOMs fitted with the Filter Dynamics Measurement System (FDMS). Analysis of  $PM_{10}$  measurements from FDMS (Green and Fuller, 2005) shows that the FDMS measures lower  $PM_{10}$  concentrations than the 'gravimetric' method and a correction factor between 1.0 and 1.3 is likely to be appropriate. However, no correction factor has been applied to the FDMS measurements presented in this report. associated with each measurement has to be considered. Estimates of the uncertainty associated with air quality measurement are discussed in the 2001 LAQN Annual Report (Fuller et al., 2003). This suggests that a working uncertainty of around 10% ( $2\sigma$ ) should be considered when discussing high values and long-term averages of CO, NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> measured at London Standard sites. This is justified on the basis of both mathematical modelling and equipment performance tests. However, due to the statistical distribution of the measurements, a 10% uncertainty in measurements does not imply a 10% uncertainty in the number of breaches of a threshold standard.

The uncertainty associated with the measurement of  $PM_{10}$  is more complex.  $PM_{10}$  is not a chemical compound but instead the composition of  $PM_{10}$ varies with location and time of year as well as during episodes.  $PM_{10}$  can be considered to comprise: primary particulates (mainly emitted from local sources), secondary particulates (mainly from distant sources), and coarse particulates whose origin can be local or further afield. The variation in composition affects each measurement technique differently and as such each produces systematically different results.





### **Concentration Units**

The Air Quality Regulations (DETR 2000b) specify Objectives in terms of mass per unit volume for all pollutants. However, continuous gas analysers and the calibration standards used are measured in terms of mixing ratio. These are two entirely different bases of measurement with conversion between them being dependent on temperature and pressure conditions. Conversions have been made based on 293 K and 101.3 kPa, where appropriate, for comparison to the AQS Objectives, (DETR 2000c). Mass per unit volume NO<sub>X</sub> concentrations are reported as NO<sub>2</sub> equivalent.

### Air quality during 2004

The pollution incidents experience during 2003 were not repeated in 2004. The concentration of each of the AQS pollutants during 2004 is discussed below and concentrations during 2004 are also compared to 2003. Measurements are detailed in Appendix 3.

### Carbon Monoxide - CO

CO emissions within the LAQN area are dominated by road transport sources and therefore concentrations are greatest close to major roads. All sites met the AQS Objective of 10 mgm<sup>-3</sup> (8.6 ppm) as a rolling 8 hour mean. CO is a primary pollutant and its temporal distribution is easier to understand than that for NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> or PM<sub>10</sub>. CO concentrations are determined by emission rates and dispersion only and are therefore generally highest during the winter months when atmospheric dispersion is weakest. This is evident in *Figure 1* which shows the temporal distribution of CO during 2003 and 2004 as measured at the roadside site Wandsworth 4. During 2004, CO concentrations were lower in the spring and autumn periods when compared to 2003. Elevated CO was measured during a primary pollution episode during December 2004.



Figure 1 Rolling 8h mean CO at Wandsworth 4

### Nitrogen dioxide - NO<sub>2</sub>

 $NO_2$  is largely a secondary pollutant formed by the oxidation of NO. Given that this process takes place over time in the atmosphere, to understand the concentration and sources of  $NO_2$ , it is necessary to consider the sum of NO and  $NO_2$ , which is termed  $NO_X$ . In the LAQN area, road transport is the dominant source of  $NO_X$ . This is reflected in the spatial distribution of  $NO_2$ , with the greatest annual mean concentrations being measured near roads and in central London locations. Lower concentrations are observed in background and suburban areas.

The temporal distribution of NO<sub>2</sub> during 2003 and 2004 is illustrated in *Figure 2*, which shows measurements from the background site Redbridge 1. At background sites, NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are generally greatest during the wintertime when pollution dispersion is weakest and NO<sub>X</sub> concentration is therefore elevated. Several NO<sub>2</sub> episodes are evident in the 2003 measurements. The frequency and severity of incidents during 2004 were less than those during 2003 although the NO<sub>2</sub> incidents

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 2 Hourly mean NO<sub>2</sub> at Redbridge 1

during late November and early December 2004 can be clearly seen in Figure 2.

The AQS stipulates two Objectives for NO<sub>2</sub>: an annual mean of 40  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (21 ppb) and an incident-based Objective of 200  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (105 ppb), as an hourly mean, not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year.

During 2004, the annual mean  $NO_2$  Objective was exceeded at all kerbside and roadside monitoring sites except for the outer London roadsides sites Enfield 2 and Havering 3. This Objective was also exceeded at background sites in inner London and the area where background sites exceeded the Objective also extended west beyond inner London to include Ealing 1 and Heathrow Airport.

All permanent kerbside sites measured hourly mean concentrations above 200  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (105 ppb) during the year. The incident-based Objective for NO<sub>2</sub> was exceeded at the kerbside sites Barnet 1, Lambeth 4, Marylebone Road, and Sutton 4. The Lambeth 4 kerbside site measured an hourly mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration above 200  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> on 3870 occasions and 529 occasions were measured at Marylebone Road. The hourly mean objective for NO<sub>2</sub> was also exceeded at the roadside sites Brent 3, Ealing 6, Hammersmith and Fulham 1, Hounslow 4, Kensington and Chelsea 3 and Kensington and Chelsea 4. Nine urban background sites measured hourly mean concentrations above 200  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup>; the largest number (13) being measured at Hackney 4.

### **Ozone - 0**<sub>3</sub>

 $O_3$  is a seasonal pollutant with the highest concentrations being measured during the summer months when  $O_3$  is formed by reactions between sunlight and other atmospheric pollutants.  $O_3$  is a regional pollutant, with episodes extending over many thousands of square kilometres. In addition to this behaviour at the regional scale,  $O_3$  exhibits local variation, for example, at the roadside, caused by the scavenging effect of NO close to NO<sub>X</sub> emission sources. For this reason health-based standards are rarely exceeded at roadside and kerbside sites and  $O_3$  monitoring is not generally undertaken in these locations. However, roadside monitoring of  $O_3$  can lead to a

### NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> NAQS objectives exceeded widely

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3 Hourly mean  $O_3$ ; the mean of measurements at Bromley 5 and Kingston 1.

better understanding of the mechanisms that determine roadside  $NO_2$  concentrations and primary emissions of  $NO_2$ . Further  $O_3$  monitoring at roadside sites in London would therefore be welcome.

The temporal distribution of O<sub>3</sub> during 2003 and 2004 is illustrated in Figure 3 which shows the mean of measurements at the suburban sites Bromley 5 and Kingston 1. O3 concentrations are determined by a combination of emissions and meteorological circumstances at the global, European and local level. The highest background concentrations in the northern hemisphere are measured in the spring due to global emissions. During the summer months regional pollution incidents are superimposed upon the background causing concentrations to become 'moderate' or above. In terms of widespread 'moderate' O<sub>3</sub>, the O<sub>3</sub> 'season' during 2003 extended from 23<sup>rd</sup> March to 21<sup>st</sup> September; the longest 'season' measured during the 12 year operating period of the LAQN. During August 2003, O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in London reached their highest measured levels since 1990, though these concentrations were around half those measured during the summer of 1976 (PORG 1993). The O<sub>3</sub> 'season' during 2004 was of comparable duration, extending from 31st March to 11th September. The main episode of the year was again during August. However the frequency and severity of the episodes during 2004 were far less than those measured during 2003. Local emissions of NO<sub>X</sub> can cause O<sub>3</sub> concentrations to approach zero during primary pollution episodes. An example of such low O<sub>3</sub> concentrations can be seen in Figure 3 during the primary pollution episode in December 2004.

The AQS has an Objective of 100  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (50 ppb) for O<sub>3</sub>, measured as a rolling 8 hour mean, which should not be exceeded on more than 10 days per year. The greatest concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> are generally measured at sites on the periphery of London and in the Home Counties. During the 3 years 2000 to 2002, the majority of sites in outer London and the Home Counties exceeded the Objective, whilst many sites in inner and west London met the Objective. During 2000 to 2002, outer

London sites typically experienced around 20 to 30 days per year with peak concentrations above 100  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup>, measured as a rolling 8 hour mean. During 2003, several sites measured over 40 days above 100  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup>, expressed as a rolling 8 hour mean; almost double the number of days measured annually during 2000 to 2002. During 2003 the AQS Objective was exceeded at all O<sub>3</sub> measurement sites in London except the kerbside site Marylebone Road. The sites exceeding the Objective during 2004 exhibited a similar spatial distribution to the years 2000 to 2002; the Objective being exceeded at the majority of outer suburban sites and LAQN sites around London but being achieved at many inner London background sites. Within the LAQN, the greatest number of days with peak 8 hourly mean O<sub>3</sub> concentrations above 100  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> was at Sevenoaks 2 (37 days) and Kingston 1 and Richmond 2 (24 days). Elsewhere in south east England, the greatest number of days with peak 8 hourly mean O<sub>3</sub> concentrations above 100  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> was measured at the Mid-Bedfordshire rural site (49 days).

#### Particulate matter - PM<sub>10</sub>

There are two AQS Objectives for  $PM_{10}$ : an incident-based Objective of 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup>, measured as a daily mean, not to be exceeded on more than 35 days per year, and an annual mean Objective of 40 µgm<sup>-3</sup>. These Objectives are in line with the EU Stage 1 Limit Value.

*Figure 4* shows the daily mean  $PM_{10}$  measured at the inner London background site Kensington & Chelsea 1. During 2003, London experienced a series of  $PM_{10}$  episodes in February, March, April and August that were caused by the long-range transport of secondary  $PM_{10}$ . Lesser episodes were experienced during the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of the 2003. During 2004, the frequency and severity of  $PM_{10}$  episodes was less than that experienced during 2003. A  $PM_{10}$  episode is evident in *Figure 4* associated with the O<sub>3</sub> episode during August 2004 and a primary  $PM_{10}$  episode was measured during December.

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure 4 Daily Mean PM<sub>10</sub> at Kensington and Chelsea 1

The severe PM<sub>10</sub> and 0<sub>3</sub> episodes experienced during 2003 were not repeated during 2004

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 5 Daily maximum 15 minute mean  $SO_2$  from Lewisham 2.

The annual mean Objective was exceeded at the Lambeth 4 (BAM) and Marylebone Road (TEOM) kerbside sites. The annual mean Objective was also exceeded at the Bexley 4 roadside site, which is located close to a waste transfer facility.

The incident-based Objective was exceeded at several road and kerbside monitoring sites. The greatest number of days with mean  $PM_{10}$  above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> was measured at Bexley 4 (132 days) and Brent 5 (165 days) that are both located on haulage routes from waste transfer facilities. The road and kerbside sites Bexley 7, Brent 4, Camden 1, Enfield 4, Greenwich 8, Hounslow 5, Lambeth 4, and Marylebone Road also exceeded the incident-based Objective.

'Gravimetric' measurements of  $PM_{10}$  confirm that the incident-based Objective was exceeded at the Marylebone Road kerbside site and also at the roadside site Kensington and Chelsea 5.

### **SO**<sub>2</sub>

The spatial distribution of  $SO_2$  concentrations is influenced by both road traffic and industrial point sources. Road traffic sources are the main factor influencing annual mean concentrations in London, whereas industrial point sources produce short-term high values due to plume grounding. The annual mean concentrations of  $SO_2$  do not vary to any large degree over the network.

The AQS Objective for SO<sub>2</sub> is based on 35 breaches of a 15 minute mean of 266  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (100 ppb). This was not approached at any site in the network, although Brent 1, Bexley 1, Enfield 3, Hounslow 2, Lewisham 1, Lewisham 2, and Thurrock 1 measured 15 minute means in excess of 266  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup>. The AQS also has an hourly mean Objective of 350  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (132 ppb) which should not be exceeded on more than 24 occasions per year. This was achieved at all sites.

The temporal distribution of  $SO_2$  during the year is illustrated by measurements from the Lewisham 2 roadside site in south east London and is shown in *Figure 5*.

 $SO_2$  incidents in London are mainly caused by plume grounding from large industry located in the east Thames area and are therefore associated with easterly winds. Pollution from continental sources is also transported into London by easterly winds and, therefore,  $SO_2$  incidents often occur at the same time as secondary  $PM_{10}$  and  $O_3$  episodes. Such incidents are evident in *Figure 5* where elevated  $SO_2$  coincides with  $O_3$  episodes during August 2003 and 2004, for example. The frequency and severity of  $SO_2$  plume grounding events was less in 2004 when compared with 2003.

### **Benzene and 1,3 Butadiene**

The AQS Objectives for Benzene and 1,3 Butadiene are based on annual mean concentrations reflecting the long-term exposure concerns for these pollutants. The annual mean AQS Objective for Benzene is  $5 \,\mu \text{gm}^{-3}$  and the AQS Objective for 1,3 Butadiene is 2.25  $\mu \text{gm}^{-3}$ . Benzene and 1,3 butadiene are measured by DEFRA networks at the Marylebone Road kerbside site, the Haringey 1 roadside site and the Greenwich 4 background site. Benzene and 1,3 butadiene are also measured at the Tower Hamlets 2 roadside site. All sites met the AQS Objectives for these pollutants.

### CO and SO<sub>2</sub> AQS Objectives were achieved at all sites.

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Medium-term changes in air pollution in London

### **Relative Results 1996 to 2005**

Measurements from November 1996 to November 2004 were analysed to place the results from 2004 in context. To provide a perspective across the network as a whole, the mean from a sample of long-term sites was averaged to produce a LAQN mean. The LAQN network mean was set to 100 for each pollutant as at November 1996, thereby creating an index to illustrate relative change. The changes in index, relative to November 1996, are shown in *Table 1*.

#### LAQN annual mean index

Measurements from a range of site types were used to derive the LAQN annual mean index. However, due to measurement availability, different sites were used for each pollutant. The sites used in the index were reviewed in 2004 and again in 2005. The sites were revised to reflect the changing availability of pollution measurements. This was to ensure that the index for each pollutant is based on measurement sites with the longest datasets and that represent the range of pollution concentrations experienced in the network. Six long-term sites were used for the  $PM_{10}$  calculation, seven for CO,  $O_3$  and SO<sub>2</sub>, and 16 for NO<sub>X</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. It should be noted that measurements during 2005 are provisional and subject to ratification.

During 2004 the annual mean concentration of all pollutants decreased. The largest reductions during 2004 were exhibited by  $PM_{10}$  (-15%),  $NO_2$  (-11%),  $NO_X$  (-10%),  $O_3$  (-9%) and  $SO_2$  (-8%) with only a slight change (-2%) in CO. *Table 1* also shows the results from provisional measurements made during the first ten months of 2005. Measurements from 2005 suggest that air quality concentrations remained relatively stable during the year with changes in the annual mean index of each pollutant being less than +/- 4%.

From November 1996 to the end of 2004, the LAQN annual mean index reduced for all pollutants except  $O_3$ . The greatest reductions were achieved in annual mean concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> (-71%) and CO (-52%), with lesser reductions exhibited by PM<sub>10</sub> (-30%) and NO<sub>X</sub> (-39%).

Despite the 39% reduction in  $NO_X$  concentration, the annual mean concentration of  $NO_2$  showed a lower decrease (-13%) during the period November 1996 to the end of 2004. The lower reduction in  $NO_2$  concentration, when compared to reductions in  $NO_X$  concentration, illustrates the challenges of controlling the

Changes (%)	during 2004	First ten months of 2005*	Nov 1996 – Dec 2004	Nov 1996 – Nov 2005*
CO	-2	-4	-52	-56
NO <sub>X</sub>	-10	-2	-39	-41
NO <sub>2</sub>	-11	-1	-13	-14
03	-9	0	33	33
PM <sub>10</sub>	-15	2	-30	-28
SO <sub>2</sub>	-8	-2	-71	-73

Table 1 Changes in LAQN annual mean index, relative to November 1996

\*measurements during 2005 are provisional

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

concentrations of this mainly secondary pollutant.

Worryingly, the annual mean concentrations of  $O_3$  show a substantial increase during the period from November 1996 to the end of 2004 (33%). Although  $O_3$  is not included in Local Air Quality Management (DEFRA, 2003), the continued measurement of  $O_3$  is essential to quantify the changes in the concentration of this pollutant and to support the understanding of changes in the concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>.

Further insight into the relative changes in the concentration of air pollution in London can be gained from the time series of the annual mean values of the index for each pollutant. To produce a time series, the annual mean value of the index for each pollutant was calculated at monthly intervals from November 1996. The mean value for a particular date represented that for the preceding 12 months; for example, the value calculated for November 1996 represented the mean between November 1995 and November 1996.

The annual mean values for each pollutant shown in *Figure 6* and *Figure 7* allow the apparent reductions in air pollution during 2004 to be viewed in their correct context. During 2003, London experienced a series of pollution incidents, which caused increases in the annual mean concentrations of  $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $SO_2$ (Fuller et al., 2005). Such pollution episodes were not repeated during 2004. The apparent reductions in the annual mean index values for  $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $SO_2$ during 2004 are therefore an artefact of the pollution episodes during 2003 rather

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 6 Relative annual mean concentrations of  $O_3$ ,  $NO_X$  and  $NO_2$ 

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 7 Relative annual mean concentrations of CO,  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{SO}_2$ 

than an indication of an underlying improvement in air pollution in London.

*Figure 6* shows an overall fall of around 41% in the annual mean  $NO_X$  concentration over the period November 1996 to November 2005. This is the result of reduced  $NO_X$  emissions due to technological changes in the vehicle fleet. The effects of pollution incidents during October and November 1997 are clearly reflected in the  $NO_X$  concentration; causing a rise in annual mean index at this time and a consequential fall during winter 1998 as this incident drops from the rolling annual mean. Similar incidents are apparent during November 1999 and January 2002.

The annual mean  $O_3$  index in *Figure 6* shows an overall rise of 33% from November 1996 to November 2005. The annual mean  $O_3$  index in November 2005 is below the peak value of 146%, which was attained during spring 2004 as a consequence of the photochemical episodes during 2003.

#### Primary tail pipe emissions of NO<sub>2</sub>

Local management of NO<sub>2</sub> focuses on reducing total emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>. The majority of NO<sub>x</sub> is emitted as NO, which is then oxidised to NO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere. The concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> is therefore limited by the oxidising capacity of the atmosphere. This is especially the case at roadside locations. However, NO<sub>2</sub> can also be emitted directly from vehicle exhausts without the need for atmospheric oxidation. The importance of primary emissions of NO<sub>2</sub> has been highlighted by a series of papers by Carslaw and Beevers (2004a, 2004b, 2005a and 2005b) that quantified the contribution of primary emissions of NO<sub>2</sub> to the total NO<sub>2</sub> concentration measured at roadside locations in London during 2000-02. Carslaw (2005) estimated that primary emissions of NO<sub>2</sub> ranged between 3% and 24% of the emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, with the directly emitted NO<sub>2</sub> being responsible for 21% of the annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration (median estimate). Carslaw (2005) suggested that the proportion of directly emitted NO<sub>2</sub> at many roadside sites increased between 1997 and 2003, which masked underlying reductions in NO<sub>2</sub> may be due to the installation of diesel particulate filters, changes to the engine management systems on diesel vehicles and the increased proportion of diesel vehicles in the passenger car fleet. To strengthen the LAQN's ability to quantify primary emissions of NO<sub>2</sub>, three roadside O<sub>3</sub> analysers have been recently installed by the London Boroughs of Bexley, Ealing and Greenwich.

From November 1996 to November 2005 the annual mean  $NO_2$  index reduced by 14%. This reduction is not, however, consistent and fluctuations in annual mean  $NO_2$  show influences of changes in annual mean  $NO_X$  and annual mean  $O_3$ . The annual mean  $NO_2$  index showed a relative increase from November 1996 to November 1998, due in part to pollution incidents during autumn 1997. Despite reductions in annual mean  $NO_2$  during 1998, the index returned to its original value again at the end of 1999. The index then reduced by 10% in 2000 during unsettled weather at this time and was relatively stable during 2001 and 2002. However, the annual mean concentration of  $NO_2$  rose during 2003 and by the end of the year the  $NO_2$  concentration index was within 2% of its value during November 1996. At this stage it is not possible to determine if the 11% decline in the annual mean  $NO_2$  index during 2004 will herald a longer-term decline, or if it simply reflects the annual fluctuation exhibited by this pollutant.

The annual mean concentrations of CO and SO<sub>2</sub> declined by 56% and 73% respectively from November 1996 to November 2005. *Figure* 7 shows that annual mean CO and SO<sub>2</sub> indices fell relatively rapidly from November 1996 to 1999. However, from the start of 2000, the rate of change in annual mean CO and SO<sub>2</sub> has been more modest. Annual mean concentrations of CO in London are now approaching the limits of detection of analysers at many background sites and, as a consequence, artefacts from measurement uncertainty are apparent in the annual mean index during 2005.

*Figure* 7 also shows the annual mean  $PM_{10}$  index, which decreased by 28% between November 1996 and November 2005. However, this reduction was achieved in the period up to November 2000. Between November 2000 and November 2005, the annual mean  $PM_{10}$  index increased by 4% and  $PM_{10}$  incidents during 2003 (Fuller et al., 2005) caused the annual mean  $PM_{10}$  index to rise by 14% during the year. Source apportionment of  $PM_{10}$  in London suggests that the primary  $PM_{10}$  arising within London has increased since the turn of the century. (Fuller, 2006).

### Progress towards the attainment of AQS Objectives

he LAQN annual mean index is effective at showing the relative change in annual mean concentration. However, the LAQN annual mean index does not show concentration relative to the AQS Objectives or progression towards the attainment of these Objectives. Progress towards the attainment of the AQS Objectives for PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> is discussed below.

### Nitrogen Dioxide - NO<sub>2</sub>

*Figure 8* compares the annual mean  $NO_2$  at 3 different types of location in London using a sample of LAQN sites. Annual mean concentrations at typical background sites in outer London have been below the AQS Objective since 1998, whereas those at typical roadside and background sites in inner London have been consistently above the Objective. All location types showed an overall reduction and exhibited fluctuations due to the same factors; for example, the pollution episodes in autumn 1997 and, to a lesser extent, the photochemical episodes during 2003.

Pollution episodes during 2003 affected the annual mean concentrations of  $NO_2$  with increases in the annual mean concentration being measured at all site types. Such pollution episodes were not repeated during 2004 and, as a consequence, the annual mean  $NO_2$  concentration at background sites decreased by an average of 4  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (-11%). This analysis is based on a sample of 11 background sites; 5 in outer London and 6 in inner London. However, similar decreases were not measured at the sample inner London kerb/roadside sites (5 sites) which show little overall change with an average of 1  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (0.5%) during 2004.

*Table 2* shows the change in annual mean  $NO_2$  concentration at 25 kerb and roadside sites during 2003 and 2004. During 2003, 23 of the kerbside and roadside monitoring sites measured increases in the annual mean concentration of  $NO_2$ . An overall increase was also experienced at background sites, suggesting that meteorological conditions during 2003 had a part to play in these changes.

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

Figure 8 Annual mean NO<sub>2</sub>

Kerbside & roadside sites			
	Change 2003 (µgm <sup>-3</sup> )	Change 2004 (µgm <sup>-3</sup> )	Net Change 2003 - 2004 (µgm <sup>-3</sup> )
Marylebone Road	27.1	2.5	29.6
Hounslow 4	23.9	-4.6	19.3
A3 Roadside	14.7	-6.5	8.2
Kensington & Chelsea 4	14.1	-6.1	8.0
Ealing 2	10.3	-7.3	3.0
Croydon 5	10.0	-10.3	-0.3
Croydon 2	9.0	-11.8	-2.8
Wandsworth 4	8.0	-5.3	2.7
Kensington & Chelsea 3	7.8	-5.5	2.3
Redbridge 4	6.6	-5.3	1.3
Croydon 4	6.6	-3.6	3.0
Greenwich Bexley 6	6.3	-10.7	-4.4
Haringey 1	5.7	-6.3	-0.6
Tower Hamlets 2	5.5	-6.1	-0.6
Richmond 1	4.4	-6.7	-2.3
Enfield 4	4.2	-4.2	0.0
Kensington & Chelsea 2	3.6	4.8	8.4
Enfield 2	2.3	-6.5	-4.2
Cystal Palace	2.1	-0.6	1.5
Havering 3	1.9	-4.0	-2.1
Camden 3	1.5	2.3	3.8
Bromley 7	0.9	4.4	5.3
Islington 2	-1.4	1.0	-0.4
Redbridge 3	-3.8	3.6	-0.2
Greenwich 5	-3.9	-3.0	-6.9

Table 2 Change in annual mean  $NO_2$  concentrations at kerbside and roadside sites that achieved >75% data capture during each of the 3 years 2002 to 2004.

Importantly, the increases of greater than 10  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> that were measured at Marylebone Road, Hounslow 4, A3 Roadside, Kensington & Chelsea 4 and Ealing 2 during 2003 were not reversed during 2004, and it can therefore be concluded that the increased annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration at these sites represents a change in road transport emissions at these locations.

Twelve of the 26 monitoring sites exhibited a net increase in annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> during 2003 and 2004. In addition to those sites that exhibited large increases during 2003, of particular note are Kensington & Chelsea 2 and Bromley 7 that each measured net increases of greater than 5  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup>. Also of note is the Camden 1 kerbside site. Due to low data capture at Camden 1 during much of 2002 to 2004 the site is not featured in *Table 2*. However, provisional measurements during 2005 suggest that the annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration at Camden 1 may have increased by 9  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> between March 2002 and October 2005. The only site measuring an overall reduction of greater than 5  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> over the 2 years was Greenwich 5 that measured a reduction of 6.9  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup>. It is likely that the wide range of changes in annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> at roadside sites is linked to local changes in primary emissions of NO<sub>2</sub>.

#### **Particulate PM<sub>10</sub>**

*Figure 9* shows the annual number of daily mean  $PM_{10}$  measurements above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) at three different types of location. The long-term measurements at inner London background sites exhibit a downward trend from around 50 days above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) in 1995 to around 10 days in 2002. The similar downward trend of all site types reflects a reduction in secondary and primary  $PM_{10}$  emissions, whilst the convergence in the number of daily means above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) illustrates the reduction in traffic emissions of primary  $PM_{10}$ .

During 1995, typical inner London background sites exceeded the Objective, which implied a widespread breach of this Objective throughout London. The situation deteriorated in spring 1996 due to the substantial secondary episode at this time. As a consequence, 76 daily means above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) were measured in inner London during the year ending April 1996; more than double the 2005 Objective of 35 days. A repetition of such an episode would clearly provide significant challenges for air quality management. The additional days above 50  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) caused by the spring 1996 episode left the running count in spring 1997. Other events affecting the number of daily means above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) included the primary episode of autumn 1997 and the unsettled weather in late 2000. Inner London background sites have consistently achieved the Objective since 1998. The number of daily means above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) measured at outer background London sites was only marginally less than those measured in inner London. A larger difference can be seen between the background and kerb/roadside sites in inner London than between outer and inner London background sites.

The number of daily means above 50  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) at the kerb/roadside sites in inner London followed a similar trend to background, albeit with additional days due to local traffic emissions. Inner London kerb/roadside sites generally achieved the Objective between 2000 and 2002. Measurements at Marylebone Road are not shown in *Figure 9*, but have been in the range 70-160 days per year since the site was installed and show variations in part due to local events such as building works (Fuller et al., 2002, Fuller and Green, 2005).

The measurements shown in *Figure 9* also show the impact of the  $PM_{10}$  episodes in 2003. Compared to 2002, background sites measured around 20 additional daily means above 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) during 2003, with kerb/roadside sites in inner London measuring around 30 such additional days. By the end of 2003, many road and kerbside TEOM sites in London had exceeded the AQS Objective. The majority of inner London background TEOM sites did not exceed the Objective; the exception being Tower Hamlets 1 where building works may have caused additional local PM<sub>10</sub>.

The  $PM_{10}$  pollution events during 2003 were not repeated during 2004 and thus the annual number of days with mean  $PM_{10}$  greater than 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) was reduced. By the end of 2004, the annual number of days with mean  $PM_{10}$  greater than 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) at background sites in inner and outer London was comparable to that measured at the start of 2001. However, the  $PM_{10}$  measurements

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 9 Annual number of days when daily mean  $PM_{10}$  exceeded 50  $\mu gm^{-3}$  (TEOM\*1.3)

at inner London kerb and roadside sites did not exhibit comparable reductions to those measured at background locations. Although  $PM_{10}$  at inner London kerb and roadside sites reduced during 2004, the annual number of days with mean  $PM_{10}$  greater than 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) at the end of the year was close to the Objective and above that measured at the start of 2001.

Provisional measurements for the first 10 months of 2005 show that background sites continued to remain below the Objective, with the annual number of daily means greater than 50  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) remaining at levels that prevailed before the pollution incidents in 2003. However, at kerb and roadside sites in inner London, the annual number of daily means greater than 50  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) continued to remain above pre-2003 levels. Of note is the Camden 1 kerbside site that measured daily mean concentrations greater than 50  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3) on 16 days during 2002, rising to 43 days during 2004. During the first 10 months of 2005, 9 monitoring sites in the LAQN exceeded the AQS Objective.

# Appendix 1: LAQN monitoring sites

#### **Details of monitoring sites**

The following tables detail the pollution monitoring sites in the LAQN at the end of 2004. The start date of each site is shown along with the pollutants monitored and the measurement quality. In some cases a monitoring site was not operating during the 12 month period. The availability of measurements from a site is indicated in the data column.

#### Sites are classified according to their location:

• Kerbside sites are those with sampling locations within 1 m of the kerbside and with a sampling height of 3m or less.

- Roadside sites are those with sampling locations within 1-5m of the roadside and with a sampling height of 3m or less.
- Urban background sites are located to represent pollution conditions in the centre of an urban area.
  Sampling locations are away from the influence of individual pollution sources; 25 m from major roads for example.
- Suburban sites are typical of residential locations on the edge of a built-up area. Sampling locations are away from the influence of individual pollution sources; 25m from major roads for example.
- Industrial sites are situated to assess air pollution from specific industrial sources.

Kerbside Sites											
	Start	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Data	Quality		
Barnet 1	Dec 98		•			Т		Yes	**		
Bromley 4	Feb 96	Closed Jul 98									
Camden 1	Apr 96		•			Т		Yes	**A		
Lambeth 4	Dec 03		•	•		В		Yes	*		
Marylebone Road	Jun 97	•	•	•	•	TF Gx2	Т	Yes	**A		
Redbridge 2	Dec 99	Closed A	pr 03								
Redbridge 3	Dec 99		•			В		Yes	*		
Sutton 4	Jul 02		•			Т		Yes	**		

#### Key to tables

- T TEOM
- B Beta Attenuation
- G Gravimetric F FDMS
- A Affiliated to UK AURN. Final data set published by DEFRA
- Locality Standard
- \*\* Traceability to National Standards

Roadside sites									
	Start	CO	$NO_2$	SO <sub>2</sub>	03	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Data	Quality
Bexley 7	Apr 04		•		•	TF	T	Yes	**
Bexley 8	Apr 04		•		•	T	T	Yes	**
Brent 2	Jun 01	Closed	Sep 02						
Brent 3	Dec 01		•	•		Т		Yes	**
Brent 4	Jun 03		•	•		T		Yes	**
Bromley 7	July 98	•	•			B		Yes	*/**A
Camden 3	Anr 00		•			T		Yes	**
Crovdon 2	Sept 94		•					Yes	**
Crovdon 4	Sept 99		•			т		Yes	**
Croydon 5	Oct 00		•					Yes	**
Crystal Palace	Oct 99	•	•			т		Yes	**
Faling 2	Sept 96	•	•			T	т	Yes	**
Faling 4	Dec 98	Closed	Mar 99						
Faling 5	Mar 99	Closed	Jun 01						
Faling 6		0.0000	•					Yes	**
Enfield 9	.lan 98	•	•			В		Yes	**
Enfield 4	Mar 00		•	•		B		Yes	**
Greenwich 5	Sept 97		•			T		Yes	**
Greenwich 7	Mar 02		•			T		Yes	**
Greenwich 8			•			T		Yes	**
Greenwich 9	Nov 04		•			F	F	Yes	**
Greenwich 10	Sen 04		•			т		Yes	**
Greenwich Bexley 6	Oct 00		•		•	T	т	Yes	**
Hams & Fulham 1			•			т		Ves	**
Hackney 6	Nov 02		•			т		Yes	**
Haringev 1	Dec 94		•	•		т		Yes	** <b>Δ</b>
Haringey 3	Δnr 99	Closed	Mar 01					100	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Harrow 9	Jun 03	010000	•			т		Yes	**
Havering 1	Dec 95		•					Yes	**
Havering 3	Dec 98		•	•		т		Yes	**
Hillingdon 1	Sept 99		•			T		Yes	**
Hillingdon 9	Sept 02		•			т		Ves	**
Hounslow 1	Δpr 93	Closed	Dec 02			- 11		100	
Hounslow 3	Mar 99	Closed	Nov 02						
Hounslow 4		010300	•			т		Ves	**
Hounslow 5			•			т		Ves	** <b>∆</b>
Islington 9		•	•			т		Ves	**
Ken & Chelsea 9	May 98					т		Ves	**
Ken & Chelsea 3	Mar 00		•					Yes	**
Ken & Chelsea 4	Sen 00		•					Yes	**
Ken & Chelsea 5	May 02					G		Yes	*
Kingston 2	Apr 96		•			Т		No	
Lambeth 1	Sep 00		•	•		B		Yes	*
Lambeth 2	Dec 01					B		Yes	*
Redhridøe 4	Dec 99		•	•		B		Yes	*
Redhridge 5	Nov 03	•	•			B		Yes	*
Richmond 1	Jun 00		•			т		Yes	**
Southwark 9	Oct 94		•	•		Т		Yes	* /**/\
Sutton 1	May 95	Closed	May 02					103	7 A
Thurrock 9	May 03	010300						Vac	**
Thurrock 3						т		Voc	**
Tower Hamlete 9	Mar 04							Voc	** A
Wandsworth 1	Sent 04	Closed	Mar 96					165	A
Wandsworth A	5001 94 Feb 09	Ciosed				т		Voc	**
Walltham Forget 7						Т		Voc	**
Waltham FULESU 3	Jul 03			•				162	

Urban background sites	Urban background sites										
	Start	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	03	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Data	Quality		
Barnet 2	Aug 00		•			Т		Yes	**		
Barnet 3	Aug 00	Closed Ma	r 02								
Brent 1	Aug 95	•	•	•	•	Т		Yes	** A		
Bromley 1	Jan 93	Closed Feb	96								
Castle Point	May 96		•	•				Yes	**		
City of London 1	Oct 01		•	•	•			Yes	*		
Croydon 3	May 97				•	Т		Yes	**		
Ealing 1	Mar 95	(•)	•	•	•			Yes	**		
Enfield 3	Nov 98	•	•	•	•	В		Yes	**		
Greenwich 4	Sept 93		•	•	•	Т		Yes	** A		
Greenwich 12	Jul 04		•			F	F	Yes	**		
Hackney 4	Oct 93	•	•		•		Т	Yes	*/**A		
Hams & Fulham 2	Aug 03		•			Т		Yes	**		
Heathrow Airport	Mar 99	•	•			Т		Yes	**		
Hillingdon (O)	Oct 94	Last Data /	Apr 95								
Ken & Chelsea 1	Mar 95	•	•	•	•	TG	G	Yes	**A		
Islington 1	Sep 94	(•)	•			Т		Yes	**		
Lambeth 3	Dec 01		•	•		В		Yes	*		
Lewisham 1	Jan 95		•	•	•			Yes	**A		
Mole Valley 3	Oct 01		•			Т		Yes	**		
Redbridge 1	Dec 99		•		•	В		Yes	*		
Sevenoaks 2	Feb 98	•	•	•	•	Т		Yes	**		
Southwark 1	Mar 93	•	•	•	•	Т		Yes	*/**A		
Thurrock 1	Feb 95	•	•	•	•	TG		Yes	**A		
Tower Hamlets 1	Jan 94		•	•	•	Т		Yes	**		
Tower Hamlets 3	Oct 99		•	•		Т		Yes	**		
Waltham Forest 1	Jul 98		•	•		Т		Yes	**		
Wandsworth 2	Oct 94	•	•	•	•			Yes	**A		
Westminster 1	Jan 93	Last Data 9	96								

### **Key to tables** T TEOM

B Beta Attenuation

G Gravimetric

F FDMS

A Affiliated to UK AURN. Final data set published by DEFRA
Locality Standard
\* Traceability to National Standards

Deployments of the Richmond mobile site (Richmond 3+) are not individually listed

Suburban sites	Suburban sites										
	Start	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	0 <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Data	Quality		
Bark & Dag 1	Sep 93		•	•				Yes	**		
Bark & Dag 2	Oct 99					Т		Yes	**		
Bexley 1	Jan 93	•	•	•	•	Т	Т	Yes	**A		
Bexley 2	Jan 98		•			Т	TF	Yes	**		
Bexley 3	Jan 98					Т	Т	Yes	**		
Bexley 5	Nov 99	•	•	•				Yes	**		
Brentwood 1	Aug 95		•					Yes	**		
Bromley 5	Mar 96				•			Yes	**		
Croydon 6	Jan 01		•					Yes	**		
Ealing 7	Jul 04		•			Т		Yes	**		
Enfield 1	Jul 95		•					Yes	**		
Haringey 2	Apr 96		•		•	В		Yes	**A		
Havering 2	Apr 98	Closed Nov	00								
Harrow 1	Apr 99		•	•		Т		Yes	**		
Hounslow 2	Apr 99		•	•	•	Т		Yes	**		
Kingston 1	Mar 96				•			Yes	**		
Mole Valley 2	Apr 97		•			Т		Yes	**		
Reigate & Bans 1	Jul 00		•			Т		Yes	**		
Reigate & Bans 2	Aug 03		•					Yes	**		
Richmond 2	Apr 01		•		•	Т		Yes	**		
Sutton 2	May 95	Closed May 02									
Sutton 3	May 95		•		•			Yes	**		
Wandsworth 3	Oct 94	Closed Nov	/ 00								

Industrial sites										
	Start	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Data	Quality	
Bexley 4	May 99		•			Т		Yes	**	
Brent 5	Feb 04		•			Т		Yes	**	

Rural sites											
	Start	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	0 <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Data	Quality		
Mole Valley 1	Mar 96	Closed M	ar 99								
S'oaks Scudders H	Sept 95	Closed Se	ept 97								

#### **Network Changes**

Eight new monitoring sites joined the LAQN during the year. These are shown in Figures 10-16. Additional equipment was installed at four further sites. These sites are shown in Figure 18 and Figure 20.

#### → Figure 10 The Bexley 7 and Bexley 8

monitoring sites began operation during April alongside the A206 in Crayford. The sites were installed to quantify emissions from the A206, before, during and after roadway widening to form a dual carriageway. Although both sites are 22m from the original A206; following the completion of the works the distance between the monitoring sites and the new A206 will be reduced. The location of the monitoring sites; on opposite sides of the road, provides the best possible opportunities to quantify emissions from the road itself. Both sites measure  $NO_{\chi}$ , O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> by TEOM. Additionally the Bexley 7 site also measures PM<sub>10</sub> by FDMS and meteorological parameters.

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

← Figure 11 The Brent 5 site joined the LAQN during February. The site is located on a residential road close to the entrance to several waste transfers facilities. Brent 5 was the second LAQN site to be located close to a waste transfer facility The first such site (Bexley 4) measures PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations considerably in excess of the EU Limit Value. The Brent 5 site monitors NO<sub>X</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub> (TEOM) and meteorological parameters

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

→ Figure 12 During July, the Ealing 7 monitoring site was installed at a suburban location in a school in Southall. Southall is the closest part of the borough to Heathrow and the installation of the monitoring site reflects concerns about air pollution arising from Heathrow airport. The site measures NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> by TEOM.

→ Figure 13 The Greenwich 8 monitoring site was installed in a roadside location alongside the Woolwich Flyover during July. The Woolwich Flyover carries the A102 over the junction between the A102, the A206 and several minor roads. The monitoring site is located to represent residential roadside exposure at the junction. The site measures NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> by TEOM, and frequently measures days with mean PM<sub>10</sub> in excess of 50  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> (TEOM\*1.3).

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

← Figure 14 The Greenwich 9 monitoring site joined the LAQN during November. The site is located on a residential section of the A205 south circular road and measures  $NO_X$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  by FDMS. In addition to the assessment of  $PM_{10}$  in this location, measurements from this instrument, when combined with those from other FDMS in London, will provide valuable insight into this new automated method for the measurement of airborne particulate.

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

← Figure 15 Greenwich 10 is located beside the A206 in Woolwich. The site is in a roadside location and measures  $NO_X$ and  $PM_{10}$  by TEOM. Measurements are available from September.

→ Figure 16 The Greenwich 12 monitoring site is located in a background location in the Millennium Village area, close to a potential industrial source of PM<sub>10</sub>. The site measures NO<sub>X</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> by FDMS. This combination of measurands is designed to allow the apportionment of PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from the industrial source. Additionally, the deployment of FDMS equipment will assist in the characterisation of this measurement method. The site joined the LAQN during July.

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

← Figure 17 An additional TEOM for the measurements of  $PM_{2.5}$  was added to the **Bexley 1** site during April. This equipment will aid source apportionment of  $PM_{10}$  in Bexley and across London and the south east.

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

← Figure 18 A PM<sub>10</sub> FDMS was installed at the **Bexley 2** suburban site during April. The Bexley 2 site is located in an open area adjacent to a primary school. The installation of an FDMS at this location will provide background measurements for the roadside FDMS in both Greenwich and Bexley, and will provide valuable measurements in a London-wide assessment of FDMS performance.

→ Figure 19 A NO<sub>X</sub> analyser was added to the **Bexley 4** monitoring site. This additional equipment will aid the source apportionment of PM<sub>10</sub> at this location and will lead to a better understanding of the factors that cause the site to routinely exceed the AQS Objective for PM<sub>10</sub>.

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

← Figure 20 During September, a  $O_3$ analyser was installed at Greenwich Bexley 6 which is located at the roadside by the A2. The installation of an  $O_3$ analyser at this location will enable the quantification of primary NO<sub>2</sub> emissions at this site.

## Appendix 2: DEFRA directly funded sites

Roadside sites									
	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>				
A3	•	•			Т				
Cromwell Rd	•	•	•		#				

A.2.1 Background Sites										
	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>				
Bloomsbury	•	•	•	•	Т	Т				
Harlington	•	•		•	Т					
Hillingdon	•	•	•	•	Т					
Teddington		•	•	•						
Westminster	•	•	•	•	G					
West London	•	•								

### Key to tables

T TEOM

B Beta Attenuation

G Gravimetric

F FDMS

# Reported as LAQN site Kensington & Chelsea 2

## Appendix 3: monitoring results

Monitoring results have been compared to the AQS Objectives. Many AQS Objectives require measurements representative of the whole year. If insufficient measurements are available, then comparison with the Objective is not possible. This, for example, may be the case for sites installed during the year or those that experienced serious and prolonged instrument failure. A data capture objective of 90% is recommended in LAQM TGO3 (DEFRA, 2003) in line with EU Directive requirements.

CO				
Site	Туре	Data Capture %	8h mean <8.6 mgm <sup>-3</sup> (10ppm)	Achieved?
Bexley 1	U	94	0	YES
Brent 1	U	86	0	NA
Bromley 7	R	95	0	YES
Crystal Palace 1	R	85	0	NA
Ealing 2	R	98	0	YES
Enfield 2	R	99	0	YES
Enfield 3	U	94	0	YES
Hackney 4	U	95	0	YES
Harlington	U	92	0	YES
Heathrow Airport	U	97	0	YES
Hounslow 5	R	94	0	YES
Islington 2	R	86	0	NA
Kens and Chelsea 1	U	98	0	YES
Kens and Chelsea 2	R	97	0	YES
Marylebone Rd	K	95	0	YES
Redbridge 4	R	95	0	YES
Redbridge 5	R	47	0	NA
Richmond 15	R	9	0	NA
Richmond 17	U	21	0	NA
Richmond 19	U	19	0	NA
Richmond 21	R	42	0	NA
Sevenoaks 2	U	89	0	NA
Southwark 1	U	94	0	YES
Southwark 2	R	98	0	YES
Thurrock 1	U	95	0	YES
Tower Hamlets 2	R	83	0	NA
Wandsworth 2	U	91	0	YES
Wandsworth 4	R	98	0	YES
Westminster	U	90	0	YES

K Kerbside

R Roadside

U Urban background

S Suburban I Industrial

NO <sub>2</sub>										
Site	Туре	Data capture %	Annual mean < 40 µgm <sup>-3</sup>	Annual mean achieved?	No more than 18 occurrences of hourly mean >=200 µgm <sup>-3</sup> (104.6ppb)	Hourly mean achieved?				
Barking & Dagenham 1	S	99	31	YES	0	YES				
Barnet 1	K	17	77	NA	20	NO				
Barnet 2	U	92	37	YES	5	YES				
Bexley 1	U	95	34	YES	1	YES				
Bexley 2	S	93	33	YES	0	YES				
Bexley 4	1	27	37	NA	0	NA				
Bexley 5	S	26	29	NA	0	NA				
Bexley 7	B	70	40	NA	0	NA				
Bexley 8	R	66	38	NA	0	NA				
Brent 1	U	91	29	YES	0	YES				
Brent 3	R	83	57	NA	24	NO				
Brent 4	R	98	63	NO	10	YES				
Brent 5	1	81	48	NA	0	NA				
Brentwood 1	U	95	32	YES	0	YES				
Bromley 7	R	97	46	NO	0	YES				
Camden 1	К	58	67	NA	9	NA				
Camden 3	R	95	72	NO	0	YES				
Castle Point 1	U	94	26	YES	0	YES				
City of London 1	U	74	49	NA	0	NA				
Croydon 2	R	91	43	NO	0	YES				
Croydon 4	R	91	52	NO	0	YES				
Croydon 5	К	93	64	NO	4	YES				
Croydon 6	S	84	36	NA	0	NA				
Crystal Palace 1	R	85	48	NA	0	NA				
Ealing 1	U	99	41	NO	0	YES				
Ealing 2	R	92	55	NO	0	YES				
Ealing 6	R	74	98	NA	93	NO				
Ealing 7	U	24	39	NA	0	NA				
Enfield 1	S	54	34	NA	0	NA				
Enfield 2	R	99	39	YES	0	YES				
Enfield 3	U	95	32	YES	0	YES				
Enfield 4	R	98	47	NO	0	YES				
Greenwich 10	R	28	54	NA	3	NA				
Greenwich 12	U	41	38	NA	0	NA				
Greenwich 4	U	96	30	YES	0	YES				
Greenwich 5	R	99	47	NO	0	YES				
Greenwich 7	R	88	50	NA	0	NA				
Greenwich 8	R	45	78	NA	12	NA				
Greenwich 9	R	11	51	NA	0	NA				
Greenwich Bexley 6	R	99	44	NO	0	YES				
Hackney 4	U	99	48	NO	13	YES				
Hackney 6	R	99	60	NO	0	YES				
Haringey 1	R	97	46	NO	0	YES				
Haringey 2	S	97	34	YES	0	YES				
Harlington	U	98	38	YES	0	YES				
Harrow 1	U	93	28	YES	0	YES				

NO <sub>2</sub>						
Site	Туре	Data Capture %	Annual mean < 40 ugm <sup>-3</sup>	Annual mean Achieved?	No more than 18 occurrences of hourly mean >=200 µgm <sup>-3</sup> (104.6ppb)	Hourly mean achieved?
Harrow 2	R	91	44	NO	0	YES
Havering 1	R	93	38	YES	0	YES
Havering 3	R	99	39	YES	0	YES
Heathrow Airport	U	98	55	NO	3	YES
Hillingdon 1	R	80	47	NA	7	NA
Hillingdon 2	R	51	38	NA	0	NA
Hounslow 2	S	86	36	NA	0	NA
Hounslow 4	R	99	78	NO	29	NO
Hounslow 5	R	92	54	NO	8	YES
H'smith and Fulham 1	B	59	79	NA	28	NO
H'smith and Fulham 2	11	97	40	NO	1	YES
Islington 1	11	92	47	NO	0	YES
Islington 2	R	99	70	NO	4	YES
Kens and Chelsea 1	11	98	40	NO	0	YES
Kens and Cheleea 9	B	98	80	NO	3	VES
Kons and Choleon 7	D	90	87	NO	260	NO
Kons and Choleon 4	D	84	00	NA	56	NO
Lomboth 1	D	04	52	NA	0	VES
	K	99	70	NO	0	TES VES
Lambeth 4	U	92	30	TES	0	TES NO
Lambeth 4	K	94	197	NO	3870	NO
Lewisham 1	U	97	49	NO	1	YES
Lewisham 2	R	99	68	NO	4	YES
Marylebone Rd	K	97	110	NO	529	NO
Mole Valley 2	S	98	26	YES	0	YES
Mole Valley 3	U	99	24	YES	0	YES
Redbridge 1	U	99	37	YES	0	YES
Redbridge 3	K	95	67	NO	17	YES
Redbridge 4	R	94	49	NO	0	YES
Redbridge 5	R	91	53	NO	0	YES
Reigate and Banstead 1	S	99	31	YES	0	YES
Reigate and Banstead 2	S	88	34	NA	0	NA
Richmond 1	R	97	41	NO	0	YES
Richmond 15	R	9	50	NA	0	NA
Richmond 17	U	21	38	NA	0	NA
Richmond 19	U	22	25	NA	0	NA
Richmond 2	S	97	31	YES	0	YES
Richmond 21	R	41	35	NA	0	NA
Sevenoaks 2	U	89	22	NA	0	NA
Southwark 1	U	87	51	NA	0	NA
Southwark 2	B	74	62	NA	0	NA
Sutton 3	S	24	36	NA	0	NA
Sutton 4	К	93	80	NO	131	NO
Thurrock 1	II.	88	35	NA	0	NA
Thurrock 9	B	95	70	NO	3	YES
Thurrock 3	R	99	39	VES	0	VES
Tower Hamlete 1	n II	00	35	VES	0	VES
	D	92	00	TES NO	7	VES
Tower Hamlets 2	K	90	01	NO	0	TES
Iower Hamiets 3	U	63	45	NA	0	NA
waitnam Forest 1	U	95	38	YES	2	YES
Waltham Forest 3	R	69	29	NA	0	NA
Wandsworth 2	U	98	54	NO	2	YES
Wandsworth 4	R	99	47	NO	0	YES
Westminster	U	77	46	NA	3	NA

NO <sub>x</sub>			NO <sub>x</sub>			
Site	Туре	Annual mean µgm <sup>-3</sup>	Site	Туре	Annual mean µgm⁻³	
Barking & Dagenham 1	S	49	Harrow 2	R	127	
Barnet 1	Κ	206	Hounslow 2	S	65	
Barnet 2	U	66	Hounslow 4	R	175	
Brent 1	U	49	Hounslow 5	R	154	
Brent 3	R	124	Havering 1	R	87	
Brent 4	R	250	Havering 3	R	90	
Brent 5	1	131	Islington 1	U	76	
Brentwood 1	U	46	Islington 2	R	169	
Bexley 1	U	57	Kens and Chelsea 1	U	64	
Bexley 2	S	51	Kens and Chelsea 2	R	193	
Bexley 4	1	84	Kens and Chelsea 3	R	219	
Bexley 5	S	42	Kens and Chelsea 4	R	223	
Bexley 7	R	94	Lambeth 1	R	116	
Bexley 8	R	83	Lambeth 3	U	65	
Bromley 7	R	84	Lambeth 4	K	565	
Camden 1	Κ	168	Harlington	U	71	
Camden 3	R	158	Heathrow Airport	U	124	
Castle Point 1	U	38	Lewisham 1	U	99	
Croydon 2	R	130	Lewisham 2	R	156	
Croydon 4	R	104	Mole Valley 2	S	40	
Croydon 5	Κ	185	Mole Valley 3	U	41	
Croydon 6	S	69	Marylebone Rd	К	309	
City of London 1	U	83	Redbridge 1	U	63	
Crystal Palace 1	R	123	Redbridge 3	K	163	
Ealing 1	U	73	Redbridge 4	R	111	
Ealing 2	R	143	Redbridge 5	R	133	
Ealing 6	R	353	Reigate and Banstead 1	S	47	
Ealing 7	U	85	Reigate and Banstead 2	S	56	
Enfield 1	S	66	Richmond 1	R	81	
Enfield 2	R	77	Richmond 2	S	47	
Enfield 3	U	55	Richmond 21	R	84	
Enfield 4	R	106	Richmond 22	R	93	
Greenwich Bexley 6	R	121	Southwark 1	U	91	
Greenwich 10	R	124	Southwark 2	R	125	
Greenwich 12	U	82	Sutton 3	S	70	
Greenwich 4	U	47	Sutton 4	К	194	
Greenwich 5	R	97	Tower Hamlets 1	U	55	
Greenwich 7	R	128	Tower Hamlets 2	R	158	
Greenwich 8	R	273	Tower Hamlets 3	U	72	
Greenwich 9	R	171	Thurrock 1	U	62	
H'smith and Fulham 1	R	223	Thurrock 2	R	188	
H'smith and Fulham 2	U	63	Thurrock 3	R	89	
Haringey 1	R	97	Wandsworth 2	U	113	
Haringey 2	S	57	Wandsworth 4	R	90	
Hillingdon 1	R	122	Waltham Forest 1	U	64	
Hillingdon 2	R	76	Waltham Forest 3	R	52	
Hackney 4	U	94	Westminster	U	77	
Hackney 6	R	145	Sevenoaks Background	U	34	
Harrow 1	11	45				

O <sub>3</sub>							
Site	Туре	Data Capture %	No more than 10 days where maximum rolling 8hr mean >=100µgm <sup>-3</sup> (50ppb)	Achieved?			
Bexley 1	U	95	16	NO			
Bexley 7	R	55	8	NA			
Bexley 8	R	55	8	NA			
Brent 1	U	94	7	YES			
Bromley 5	S	93	23	NO			
City of London 1	U	96	7	YES			
Croydon 3	S	98	15	NO			
Ealing 1	U	99	14	NO			
Enfield 3	U	83	10	NA			
Greenwich 4	U	95	11	NO			
Greenwich Bexley 6	R	25	0	NA			
Hackney 4	U	88	7	NA			
Haringey 2	S	93	11	NO			
Harlington	U	94	8	YES			
Hounslow 2	S	76	6	NA			
Kens and Chelsea 1	U	97	17	NO			
Kingston 1	S	94	24	NO			
Lewisham 1	U	87	1	NA			
Marylebone Rd	K	97	0	YES			
Redbridge 1	U	99	19	NO			
Richmond 15	R	9	0	NA			
Richmond 17	U	21	1	NA			
Richmond 19	U	22	2	NA			
Richmond 2	S	98	24	NO			
Richmond 21	R	43	6	NA			
Sevenoaks 2	U	92	37	NO			
Southwark 1	U	94	5	YES			
Sutton 3	S	73	12	NA			
Thurrock 1	U	97	16	NO			
Tower Hamlets 1	U	99	18	NO			
Wandsworth 2	U	98	3	YES			
Westminster	U	93	6	YES			

PM <sub>2.5</sub>			
Site	Туре	Capture	Annual mean µgm <sup>-3</sup>
Bloomsbury	U	98	12
Bexley 1	S	75	12
Bexley 2	S	99	12
Bexley 3	S	25	12
Bexley 7	R	73	14
Bexley 8	R	74	13
Ealing 2	R	88	16
Greenwich Bexley 6	R	99	14
Greenwich 9	R	3	10
Greenwich 12	R	31	13
Hackney 4	U	99	13
Marylebone Road 1	К	96	19

- K Kerbside
- R Roadside U Urban background S Suburban I Industrial

PM <sub>10</sub>							
Site	Туре	Data Capture %	Annual mean less than 40µgm-	Annual mean Achieved?	No more than 35 days where daily mean >=50ugm <sup>-3</sup>	Daily mean Achieved?	
Barking & Dagenham 2	S	99	28	YES	15	YES	
Barnet 1	K	17	28	NA	1	NA	
Barnet 2	U	99	23	YES	4	YES	
Bexley 1	U	93	24	YES	5	YES	
Bexley 2	S	99	22	YES	4	YES	
Bexley 2 (F)	S	58	22	NA	8	NA	
Bexley 4	1	99	53	NO	132	NO	
Bexley 7	R	68	33	NA	36	NO	
Bexley 7 (F)	R	62	25	NA	17	NA	
Bexley 8	R	68	28	NA	21	NA	
Brent 1	U	94	22	YES	5	YES	
Brent 3	R	90	30	YES	20	YES	
Brent 4	R	96	39	YES	68	NO	
Brent 5	1	82	65	NA	165	NO	
Bromley 7	R	77	22	NA	5	NA	
Camden 1	Κ	96	35	YES	43	NO	
Camden 3	R	99	34	YES	33	YES	
Croydon 3	S	93	24	YES	3	YES	
Croydon 4	R	98	27	YES	9	YES	
Crystal Palace 1	R	91	26	YES	4	YES	
Ealing 2	R	99	30	YES	24	YES	
Ealing 7	U	41	21	NA	2	NA	
Enfield 2	R	92	26	YES	16	YES	
Enfield 3	U	92	24	YES	9	YES	
Enfield 4	R	93	31	YES	40	NO	
Greenwich 10	R	23	25	NA	1	NA	
Greenwich 12	U	36	26	NÁ	8	NA	
Greenwich 4	U	91	22	YÉS	5	YES	
Greenwich 5	R	99	26	YES	11	YES	
Greenwich 7	R	90	31	YÉS	25	YES	
Greenwich 8	R	47	47	NÁ	69	NO	
Greenwich 9	R	3	17	NA	0	NA	
Greenwich Bexley 6	R	99	28	YES	21	YES	
Hackney 6	R	93	34	YES	31	YES	
Haringey 1	R	99	24	YES	4	YES	
Haringey 2	S	93	30	YES	18	YES	
Harlington	U	99	25	YES	11	YES	
Harrow 1	U	99	20	YES	0	YES	
Harrow 2	R	96	30	YES	19	YES	
Havering 3	R	98	23	YES	(	YES	
Heathrow Airport	U	98	27	YES	13	YES	
Hillingdon 1	R	81	28	NA	16	NA	
Hillingdon 2	R	99	27	YES	10	YES	
Hounslow 2	S	93	22	YES	4	YES	
Hounslow 4	R	98	30	YES	22	YES	

PM <sub>10</sub>						
Site	Туре	Data capture %	Annual mean less than 40µgm-	Annual mean Achieved?	No more than 35 days where daily mean >=50µgm <sup>-3</sup>	Daily mean Achieved?
Hounslow 5	R	97	35	YES	43	NO
H'smith and Fulham 1	R	61	35	NA	29	NA
H'smith and Fulham 2	U	91	24	YES	6	YES
Islington 1	U	97	27	YES	9	YES
Islington 2	R	98	34	YES	32	YES
Kens & Chelsea 1 (Grav)	U	84	25	NA	11	NA
Kens and Chelsea 1	U	96	24	YES	6	YES
Kens and Chelsea 1 (F)	U	77	21	NA	7	NA
Kens and Chelsea 2	R	99	35	YES	29	YES
Kens & Chelsea 5	R	94	41	NO	67	NO
Lambeth 1	R	94	26	YES	22	YES
Lambeth 3	U	86	23	NA	6	NA
Lambeth 4	Κ	94	47	NO	115	NO
Lewisham 2	R	99	31	YES	19	YES
Marylebone Rd	Κ	98	43	NO	99	NO
Marylebone Rd FDMS	Κ	92	33	YES	38	NO
Marylebone Rd (KFG)	Κ	77	29	NA	23	NA
Marylebone Rd (Partisol)	Κ	84	41	NA	66	NO
Mole Valley 2	S	99	20	YES	1	YES
Mole Valley 3	U	91	22	YES	0	YES
Redbridge 1	U	93	25	YES	10	YES
Redbridge 4	R	91	29	YES	24	YES
Redbridge 5	R	60	35	NA	23	NA
Reigate and Banstead 1	S	99	22	YES	0	YES
Richmond 1	R	94	26	YES	10	YES
Richmond 15	R	9	25	NA	0	NA
Richmond 17	U	21	24	NA	1	NA
Richmond 19	U	22	22	NA	1	NA
Richmond 2	S	97	22	YES	5	YES
Richmond 21	R	43	27	NA	6	NA
Sevenoaks 2	U	97	21	YES	1	YES
Southwark 1	U	99	26	YES	7	YES
Southwark 2	R	99	32	YES	29	YES
Sutton 4	Κ	99	30	YES	9	YES
Thurrock 1	U	95	25	YES	7	YES
Thurrock 1 (Grav)	U	94	27	YES	23	YES
Thurrock 3	R	99	26	YES	10	YES
Tower Hamlets 1	U	98	25	YES	8	YES
Tower Hamlets 3	U	84	25	NA	2	NA
Waltham Forest 1	U	90	24	YES	5	YES
Waltham Forest 3	R	75	24	NA	1	NA
Wandsworth 4	R	95	28	YES	21	YES
Westminster	U	22	25	NA	3	NA

SO <sub>2</sub>						
Site	Туре	Data Capture %	No more than 35 occurrences of 15min mean >=266µgm <sup>-3</sup>	Achieved?		
Barking & Dagenham 1	S	99	0	YES		
Bexley 1	U	95	0	YES		
Bexley 5	S	26	0	NA		
Brent 1	U	89	0	NA		
Brent 3	R	85	0	NA		
Brent 4	R	89	0	NA		
Castle Point 1	U	94	0	YES		
City of London 1	U	88	0	NA		
Croydon 4	R	99	0	YES		
Crystal Palace 1	R	85	0	NA		
Ealing 1	U	98	0	YES		
Enfield 3	U	94	1	YES		
Enfield 4	R	99	0	YES		
Greenwich 4	U	98	0	YES		
Haringey 1	R	98	0	YES		
Harrow 1	U	73	0	NA		
Havering 3	R	100	0	YES		
Hounslow 2	S	93	0	YES		
Hounslow 4	R	97	0	YES		
H'smith and Fulham 1	R	48	0	NA		
Kens and Chelsea 1	U	96	0	YES		
Kens and Chelsea 2	R	98	0	YES		
Lambeth 1	R	99	0	YES		
Lambeth 3	U	99	0	YES		
Lambeth 4	К	95	0	YES		
Lewisham 1	U	97	0	YES		
Lewisham 2	R	100	0	YES		
Marylebone Rd	К	91	0	YES		
Redbridge 4	R	95	0	YES		
Richmond 15	R	7	0	NA		
Richmond 17	U	12	0	NA		
Richmond 21	R	32	0	NA		
Sevenoaks 2	U	88	0	NA		
Southwark 1	U	93	0	YES		
Southwark 2	R	95	0	YES		
Thurrock 1	U	97	2	YES		
Thurrock 3	R	99	0	YES		
Tower Hamlets 1	U	99	0	YES		
Tower Hamlets 3	U	91	0	YES		
Waltham Forest 1	U	87	0	NA		
Waltham Forest 3	R	60	0	NA		
Wandsworth 2	U	92	0	YES		
Westminster	U	90	5	YES		
	-					

- K Kerbside R Roadside U Urban background S Suburban I Industrial

# Appendix 4: Air quality strategy objectives & UK Air quality information system

The following Objectives are set out in the Air Quality Regulations 2000 for the purposes of Local Air Quality Management.

	Objecti	ve	
Pollutant	Concentration	Measured as	Date to be achieved by
Benzene	5 μgm <sup>-3</sup> (1 ppb)	Running Annual Mean	31 Dec 2010
1, 3 Butadiene	2.25 μgm <sup>-3</sup> (1 ppb)	Running Annual Mean	31 Dec 2003
Carbon Monoxide	10 mgm <sup>-3</sup> (8.6 ppb)	Running 8 hour mean	31 Dec 2003
Lead	0.5 μgm <sup>-3</sup> 0.25 μgm <sup>-3</sup>	Annual Mean Annual Mean	31 Dec 2003 31 Dec 2008
Nitrogen Dioxide (provisional)	$200~\mu gm^{\text{-}3}$ (105 ppb) not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1 hour mean	31 Dec 2005
	40 μgm <sup>-3</sup> (21 ppb)	Annual Mean	31 Dec 2005
Particles (PM <sub>10</sub> )	50 $\mu g/m^{-3}$ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24 hour mean	31 Dec 2004
	40 μgm <sup>-3</sup>	Annual Mean	31 Dec 2004
Sulphur Dioxide	$350~\mu gm^{-3}$ (132 ppb) not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1 hour mean	31 Dec 2004
	$125\;\mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ (47 ppb) not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24 hour mean	31 Dec 2004
	266 $\mu gm^{-3}$ (100 ppb) not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15 minute mean	31 Dec 2005

The following Objectives are not included in the Air Quality Regulations 2000 for the purposes of Local Air Quality Management.

	Objecti		
Pollutant	Concentration	Measured as	Date to be achieved by
Objectives for the protection of hur			
Ozone (provisional)	100 $\mu gm^{-3}$ (50 ppb) not to be exceeded more than 10 times per year	Daily maximum of running 8 hour mean	31 Dec 2005
Objectives for the protection of veg	etation and ecosystems		
Nitrogen Oxides (assuming $NO_X$ is taken as $NO_2$ )	30 μgm <sup>-3</sup> (16 ppb)	Annual mean	31 Dec 2000
Sulphur Dioxide	20 μgm <sup>-3</sup> (8 ppb) 20 μgm <sup>-3</sup> (8 ppb)	Annual mean Winter mean (1 Oct-31 March)	31 Dec 2000 31 Dec 2000

DETR 2000a, 2000b.

The thresholds for 'descriptors' applied to air pollution concentrations as defined by the UK Air Quality Information system.

Pollutant / Band	low	moderate	high	very high
Sulphur Dioxide	below 100ppb, averaged over 15 minutes	100ppb, averaged over 15 minutes	200ppb, averaged over 15 minutes	400ppb, averaged over 15 minutes
Ozone	below 50ppb, as an 8 hour running average and below 50ppb averaged over one hour	50ppb, as an 8 hour running average or 50ppb averaged over one hour	90 ppb, averaged over one hour	180 ppb, averaged over one hour
Carbon Monoxide	below 10 ppm, as an 8 hour running average	10 ppm, as an 8 hour running average	15 ppm, as an 8 hour running average	20 ppm, as an 8 hour running average
Nitrogen Dioxide	below 150 ppb, averaged over one hour	150 ppb, averaged over one hour	300 ppb, averaged over one hour	400 ppb, averaged over one hour
PM <sub>10</sub> Particles (by TEOM)	below 50 ug/m <sup>-3</sup> , as a 24 hour running average	50 ug/m <sup>-3</sup> , as a 24 hour running average	75 ug/m <sup>-3</sup> , as a 24 hour running average	100 ug/m <sup>-3</sup> , as a 24 hour running average

### References

Airborne Particles Expert Group (APEG), 1999. Source apportionment of airborne particulate matter in the United Kingdom. HMSO.

Carslaw, D.C. and Beevers, S.D., 2004a. New Directions: Should road vehicle emissions legislation consider primary NO<sub>2</sub>? Atmospheric Environment 38, 1233-1234.

Carslaw, D.C. and Beevers, S.D., 2004b. Investigating the Potential Importance of Primary NO<sub>2</sub> in a Street Canyon. Atmospheric Environment 38, 3585 – 3594.

Carslaw, D.C. and Beevers, S.D., 2005a. Estimations of road vehicle primary NO<sub>2</sub> exhaust emission fractions using monitoring data in London. Atmospheric Environment 39, 167-177.

Carslaw, D.C. and Beevers, S.D., 2005b. Development of an urban inventory for road transport emissions of NO<sub>2</sub> and comparison with estimates derived from ambient measurements. Atmospheric Environment 39, 2049-2059.

Carslaw, D.C., 2005. Evidence of an increasing  $NO_2/NO_X$  emissions ratio from road traffic emissions. Atmospheric Environment 39, 4793-4802.

Centre for European Normalisation (CEN), 1998. Air Quality - *Determination of the PM*<sub>10</sub> fraction of suspended particulate matter - Reference method and field test procedure to demonstrate reference equivalence of measurement methods. CEN, Brussels.

Department for the Environment Transport and the Regions (DETR), 1999. Assistance with the Review and Assessment of  $PM_{10}$  Concentration in Relation to the Proposed EU Stage 1 limit values. HMSO.

Department for the Environment Transport and the Regions (DETR), 2000a. *The air quality strategy for England*, *Wales and Northern Ireland*. HMSO.

Department for the Environment Transport and the Regions (DETR), 2000b. *The air quality regulations 2000*. HMSO.

Department for the Environment Transport and the Regions (DETR), 2000c. *Review and assessment: monitoring air quality LAQM*.TG1(00). HMSO.

Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA), 2003. Part 1V of the Environment Act 1995, Local Air Quality Management, Technical Guidance, LAQM TGC03). HMSO.

Fuller, G. W., Johnson, P., Cue, A., 2003. *Air quality in London 2001*. King's College London, Environmental Research Group.

Fuller, G., Carslaw, D.C., Lodge, H.W., 2002. An empirical approach for the

prediction of daily mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations. Atmospheric Environment 36, 1431-1441.

Fuller, G.W. and Green, D., 2004. The Impact of local fugitive  $PM_{10}$  from building works and road works on the assessment of the European Union Limit Value. Atmospheric Environment 38, 4993-5002.

Fuller, G. W., Johnson, P., Cue, A., 2005. Air Quality in London 2003, Final Report. King's College London, Environmental Research Group.

Fuller, G. W., 2006. *Increases in primary*  $PM_{10}$  *in London*. Manuscript in preparation.

Green, D., 1999. Particulate Monitor Comparison, Marylebone Road. King's College London, Environmental Research Group.

Green, D., Fuller, G., Barratt, B., 2001. Evaluation of TEOM 'correction factors' for assessing the EU stage 1 limit values for  $PM_{10}$ . Atmospheric Environment 35, 2589-2593.

Green, D. and Fuller, G., 2005. *Marylebone Road Concentration Gradient Study*. King's College London, Environmental Research Group.

Photochemical Oxidants Review Group (PORG), 1993. Ozone in the United Kingdom 1993. HMSO.

London Air Quality Network Environmental Research Group King's College London 4th Floor Franklin-Wilkins Building 150 Stamford Street London SE1 9NH 020 7848 4019 erg@kcl.ac.uk www.londonair.org.uk